arXiv:1704.04939v1 [math.OA] 17 Apr 2017

CARTAN SUBALGEBRAS AND THE UCT PROBLEM, II

SELÇUK BARLAK AND XIN LI

Abstract. We show that outer approximately represenbtable actions of a finite cyclic group on UCT Kirchberg algebras satisfy a certain quasi-freeness type property if the corresponding crossed products satisfy the UCT and absorb a suitable UHF algebra tensorially. More concretely, we prove that for such an action there exists an inverse semigroup of homogeneous partial isometries that generates the ambient C*-algebra and whose idempotent semilattice generates a Cartan subalgebra. We prove a similar result for actions of finite cyclic groups with the Rokhlin property on UCT Kirchberg algebras absorbing a suitable UHF algebra. These results rely on a new construction of Cartan subalgebras in certain inductive limits of Cartan pairs. We also provide a characterisation of the UCT problem in terms of finite order automorphisms, Cartan subalgebras and inverse semigroups of partial isometries of the Cuntz algebra $\mathcal{O}_2$. This generalizes earlier work of the authors.

1. Introduction

The universal coefficient theorem (UCT) for C*-algebras was introduced by Rosenberg and Schochet in [31]. A separable C*-algebra A is said to satisfy the UCT if for every separable C*-algebra A' the sequence

$$0 \to \operatorname{Ext}\left(K_*(A), K_{*-1}(A')\right) \to KK_*(A, A') \to \operatorname{Hom}\left(K_*(A), K_*(A')\right) \to 0$$

is exact, where the right hand map is the natural one and the left hand map is the inverse of a map that is always defined. Equivalently, a separable C*-algebra satisfies the UCT if and only if it is KK-equivalent to a commutative C*-algebra. The class of separable, nuclear C*-algebras satisfying the UCT coincides with the smallest class of separable, nuclear C*-algebras that contains the algebra of complex numbers and is closed under countable inductive limits, the two out of three property for extensions, and KK-equivalences, see [4].

Although there exist exact, non-nuclear C*-algebras that do not satisfy the UCT, [32], it is still open whether all separable, nuclear C*-algebras satisfy the UCT. This question is often referred to as the UCT problem. It follows from Tu's striking paper [37] that amenable groupoids provide a rich source for nuclear C*-algebras in the UCT class. Building on Tu's work, the authors have proved that this also holds for twisted amenable, étale groupoids, see [1]. Therefore, employing Renault's remarkable characterisation of separable C*-algebras with Cartan subalgebras as twisted étale groupoids [28], all separable, nuclear C*-algebras with Cartan subalgebras turn out to satisfy the UCT. At the same time, by work of Spielberg [33] (or

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 46L05, 46L40; Secondary 46L80, 19K35.

The first named author is supported by the Villum Fonden project grant 'Local and global structures of groups and their algebras' (2014-2018).

The second named author is supported by EPSRC grant EP/M009718/1.

results of Katsura [17] and Yeend [38, 39]), UCT Kirchberg algebras are known to admit a Cartan subalgebra. The UCT problem is thus equivalent to the question whether every Kirchberg algebra has a Cartan subalgebra.

Due to the recent breakthrough results in the classification program of separable, simple, nuclear C*-algebras, [9, 10, 36], the UCT problem is receiving renewed interest. In fact, the necessary UCT assumption for classifiable C*-algebras remains mysterious. At the same time, these recent successes in the classification program foster the interest in concrete models for classifiable C*-algebras, such as realisations as (twisted) groupoid C*-algebras. Beside the models for UCT Kirchberg algebras by Katsura, Spielberg and Yeend, we would like to mention the recent work of Deeley, Putnam and Strung, [6], on a realisation of the Jiang-Su algebra [14, 30] via an étale equivalence relation. Building on Renault's groupoid C*-algebra models for AF algebras [27], Putnam [26] recently showed that a large class of classifiable stably finite C*-algebras can be constructed from étale groupoids. Existence and uniqueness of Cartan subalgebras has also been studied in [22].

Given that many classifiable C*-algebras arise from (twisted) groupoids, it is natural to investigate whether dynamics involving such C*-algebras are geometric in nature as well. More concretely, one can ask which discrete group actions on classifiable C*-algebras are (cocycle) conjugate to actions that are induced by twisted groupoid automorphisms. With regards to Renault's characterisation of Cartan subalgebras, our starting point was the following weaker but related question.

Question 1.1. Let A be a C*-algebra that is classifiable in the sense of the Elliott program and Γ a discrete, amenable group. Which Γ-actions of A by $*$-automorphisms preserve some Cartan subalgebra of A globally?

In this paper, we restrict attention to actions of finite groups on UCT Kirchberg algebras that either have the Rokhlin property or are approximately representable in the sense of Izumi [11] and Nawata [23]. These classes of actions are dual to each other (see [11, 23] and also [3, 8]), so that, by employing Takai duality [35], their study often goes hand in hand. In particular, the rigidity of the Rokhlin property makes both, actions with the Rokhlin property and approximately representable actions, natural classes of actions which are particularly accessible to classification, see for instance [11, 12].

As shown in [1], a necessary condition for a positive answer to Question 1.1 is that the associated crossed products satisfy the UCT. Using Izumi's classification results in [11], it is shown in the same paper that this condition is also sufficient for outer actions of $\mathbb{Z}_2$ on the Cuntz algebra $\mathcal{O}_2$ that are approximately representable. Using different techniques explained later in the introduction we obtain the following generalization as one of our main results.

Theorem 1.2. *Let $n \geq 2$. Let A be a unital UCT Kirchberg algebra and $\alpha : \mathbb{Z}_n \curvearrowright A$ an outer approximately representable action. Assume that $A \rtimes_\alpha \mathbb{Z}_n$ absorbs the UHF algebra M_{n^∞} tensorially. Then the following are equivalent:*

(i) *$A \rtimes_\alpha \mathbb{Z}_n$ satisfies the UCT;*
(ii) *there exists an inverse semigroup $\mathcal{S} \subset A$ of partial isometries generating A as a C*-algebra such that*
   (1) *$\mathcal{S}$ is α-homogeneous;*

(2) *$C^*(E)$ is a Cartan subalgebra with spectrum homeomorphic to the Cantor space in both A^α and A;*
(3) *unitaries in A^α witnessing approximate representability can be chosen in $C^*(E)$;*

(iii) *there exists a Cartan subalgebra $C \subset A$ such that $\alpha(C) = C$.*

Here, we denote by E the idempotent semilattice of $\mathcal{S}$ and by $C^*(E)$ the C*-subalgebra of A generated by E. The condition in Theorem 1.2(ii) can be considered as a quasi-freeness type condition with respect to the inverse semigroup $\mathcal{S}$. Indeed, if $\mathcal{S}$ is generated by a Cuntz family of isometries or, more generally, by a Cuntz-Krieger family of partial isometries, then α is quasi-free in the sense of [7] and [40].

On our way to Theorem 1.2, we prove the following structure result for actions with the Rokhlin property on unital UCT Kirchberg algebras.

Theorem 1.3. *Let Γ be a finite group such that every Sylow subgroup is cyclic. Let A be a unital UCT Kirchberg algebra with $A \cong A \otimes M_{|\Gamma|^\infty}$. Let $\alpha : \Gamma \curvearrowright A$ be an action with the Rokhlin property.*

Then there exists an α-invariant inverse semigroup $\mathcal{S} \subset A$ of partial isometries generating A as a C-algebra such that $C^*(E)$ is a Cartan subalgebra with totally disconnected spectrum and $\alpha_\gamma(C^*(E)) = C^*(E)$ for all $\gamma \in \Gamma$.*

In the particular case that A is the Cuntz algebra $\mathcal{O}_2$ and n is a prime power, Theorem 1.2 applies to all outer actions that are strongly approximately inner in the sense of Izumi [11], see Corollary 4.12. We use this to give a new characterisation of the UCT problem. This completes earlier work by the authors in [1] where a similar characterisation was given for the smaller class of all separable, nuclear C*-algebras that are KK-equivalent to their M_{2^∞}-stabilisation. Our result reads as follows.

Theorem 1.4. *The following statements are equivalent:*

(i) *Every separable, nuclear C*-algebra A satisfies the UCT;*

(ii) *for every prime number $p \geq 2$ and every outer strongly approximately inner action $\alpha : \mathbb{Z}_p \curvearrowright \mathcal{O}_2$ there exists an inverse semigroup $\mathcal{S} \subset \mathcal{O}_2$ of partial isometries generating $\mathcal{O}_2$ as a C*-algebra such that*
(1) *$\mathcal{S}$ is α-homogeneous;*
(2) *$C^*(E)$ is a Cartan subalgebra with spectrum homeomorphic to the Cantor space in both $\mathcal{O}_2^\alpha$ and $\mathcal{O}_2$;*
(3) *unitaries in $\mathcal{O}_2^\alpha$ witnessing approximate representability can be chosen in $C^*(E)$;*

(iii) *every outer strongly approximately inner $\mathbb{Z}_p$-action on $\mathcal{O}_2$ with $p = 2$ or $p = 3$ fixes some Cartan subalgebra $B \subseteq \mathcal{O}_2$ globally.*

The proofs of Theorems 1.2 and 1.3 rely on other results obtained in this paper, which are of independent interest. The first one is Theorem 3.6. Here a new construction of Cartan subalgebras in inductive limits of Cartan pairs is given, under the assumption that each connecting map is realised as the composition of two $*$-homomorphisms induced by twisted groupoid homomorphisms, where the first one is proper and surjective and the second one is open and injective, that satisfy additional compatibility conditions.

The second result is Theorem 4.3 which provides a criterion under which a given finite group action with the Rokhlin property on a separable C*-algebra fixes some Cartan subalgebra

globally. Here we make of use of the concrete model actions described in [2]. The crucial feature is that it suffices to pass from the Rokhlin action to any family of automorphisms indexed by the group inducing the same map into the automorphism group of the C*-algebra up to approximate unitary equivalence, and find some Cartan subalgebra in the C*-algebra that is fixed globally by all of these automorphisms. Using a classification result by Izumi [12], it turns out that for UCT Kirchberg algebras it is even enough for this family of automorphisms to induce the same action on K-theory.

Lemma 4.4 and Remark 4.5 together with Lemma 4.7 now complete the proofs of Theorems 1.2 and 1.3. Using Katsura's homological algebra machinery [15] and Spielberg's graph based models of UCT Kirchberg algebras [33] and construction of actions on these models [34], we show that for every action of a finite group with cyclic Sylow subgroups on a pair of countable abelian groups there exists an action of an étale locally compact groupoid with totally disconnected unit space such that the associated reduced groupoid C*-algebra is a UCT Kirchberg algebra and the induced action on it realises the prescribed action on the level of K-theory. From this, we conclude the existence of the desired inverse semigroup $\mathcal{S}$ in Theorems 1.2 and 1.3.

The paper is organised as follows. In Section 2, we recall the definition of Cartan subalgebras, Renault's characterisation of Cartan pairs in terms of twisted groupoid C*-algebras, as well the duality result for finite abelian groups for actions with the Rokhlin property and approximately representable actions. The main result of Section 3 is Theorem 3.6, where Cartan subalgebras in certain inductive limits of Cartan pairs are constructed. In Section 4, we prove our main results Theorems 1.2, 1.3 and 1.4.

This work was initiated during a visit of the first named author at Queen Mary University of London in autumn 2016. He would like to thank the second named author and the Geometry and Analysis Group for their kind hospitality during this stay.

2. Preliminaries

In the following, all our groupoids are locally compact Hausdorff second countable topological groupoids.

2.1. **From twisted groupoids to C*-algebras.** Let us recall some definitions from [21, 28]. A twisted groupoid is a locally compact groupoid Σ together with a free action $\mathbb{T} \curvearrowright \Sigma$ such that $\mathbb{T} \times \Sigma \to \Sigma$, $(z, \sigma) \mapsto z\sigma$ is continuous and the quotient $\Sigma/\mathbb{T}$ is Hausdorff. In addition, the canonical projection $\Sigma \twoheadrightarrow \Sigma/\mathbb{T}$ should be a locally trivial principal $\mathbb{T}$-bundle. Furthermore, we require that for all $z_1, z_2 \in \mathbb{T}$ and $\sigma_1, \sigma_2 \in \Sigma$, $z_1\sigma_1$ and $z_2\sigma_2$ are composable if and only if σ_1 and σ_2 are composable, and if that is the case, we want to have $(z_1\sigma_1)(z_2\sigma_2) = (z_1z_2)(\sigma_1\sigma_2)$.

It follows from these axioms that the groupoid structure on Σ induces the structure of a locally compact groupoid on $G := \Sigma/\mathbb{T}$. Hence we obtain a central extension

$$\mathbb{T} \times G^{(0)} \overset{\iota}{\rightarrowtail} \Sigma \overset{\pi}{\twoheadrightarrow} G.$$

Usually, we denote the twisted groupoid as described above by (G, Σ). If G is étale (topologically principal), we say that (G, Σ) is a twisted étale (topologically principal) groupoid. The quotient map $\pi : \Sigma \twoheadrightarrow G$ is often denoted by $\sigma \mapsto \dot{\sigma}$. Note that π is open and closed, as it is the quotient map corresponding to a continuous action of a compact group. It follows that π is proper as it is perfect, i.e., $\pi^{-1}(\{\gamma\})$ is compact for all $\gamma \in G$.

To construct the reduced C*-algebra of (G,Σ) for G étale, let

$$C(G,\Sigma) := \{f \in C(\Sigma,\mathbb{C})\colon\ f(z\sigma) = f(\sigma)\overline{z}\}\,,$$

and for $f \in C(G,\Sigma)$, define $\operatorname{supp}(f) := \overline{\{\dot\sigma \in G\colon\ f(\sigma)\neq 0\}}$. Set

$$C_c(G,\Sigma) := \{f \in C(G,\Sigma)\colon \operatorname{supp}(f) \text{ is compact}\}\,.$$

$C_c(G,\Sigma)$ becomes a $*$-algebra under the operations

$$f^*(\sigma) := \overline{f(\sigma^{-1})},\quad (f*g)(\sigma) := \sum_{\dot\tau\in G_{s(\sigma)}} f(\sigma\tau^{-1})g(\tau).$$

Set $X := G^{(0)}$. For $x \in X$, define the Hilbert space

$$\mathscr{H}_x := \left\{\xi :\ \Sigma_x \to \mathbb{C}\colon\ \xi(z\sigma) = \xi(\sigma)\overline{z},\ \sum_{\dot\sigma\in G_x} |\xi(\sigma)|^2 < \infty\right\}.$$

We obtain a representation π_x of $C_c(G,\Sigma)$ on $\mathscr{H}_x$ by setting

$$\pi_x(f)(\xi)(\sigma) = \sum_{\dot\tau\in G_x} f(\sigma\tau^{-1})\xi(\tau).$$

By definition, $C_r^*(G,\Sigma)$ is the completion of $C_c(G,\Sigma)$ under the norm $\|f\| = \sup_{x\in X}\|\pi_x(f)\|$.

2.2. **From Cartan pairs to twisted groupoids.** Let us recall Renault's definition and characterization of Cartan subalgebras.

Definition 2.1 ([28, Definition 5.1])**.** *A sub-C*-algebra B of a C*-algebra A is called a Cartan subalgebra if*

(i) *B contains an approximate identity of A;*
(ii) *B is maximal abelian;*
(iii) *B is regular, i.e., $N_A(B) := \{n \in A\colon\ nBn^* \subseteq B$ and $n^*Bn \subseteq B\}$ generates A as a C*-algebra;*
(iv) *there exists a faithful conditional expectation $P : A \twoheadrightarrow B$.*

Theorem 2.2 ([28, Theorem 5.2 and Theorem 5.9])**.** *Cartan pairs (A,B), where A is a separable C*-algebra, are precisely of the form $(C_r^*(G,\Sigma), C_0(G^{(0)}))$, where (G,Σ) is a twisted étale Hausdorff locally compact second countable topologically principal groupoid.*

Remark 2.3. Let us briefly explain how (G,Σ) is constructed out of (A,B). Set $X := \operatorname{Spec}(B)$. By [28, Proposition 4.7], for every $n \in N_A(B)$ there exists a partial homeomorphism $\alpha_n : \operatorname{dom}(n) \to \operatorname{ran}(n)$, with $\operatorname{dom}(n) = \{x \in X\colon\ n^*n(x) > 0\}$ and $\operatorname{ran}(n) = \{x \in X\colon\ nn^*(x) > 0\}$, such that $n^*bn(x) = b(\alpha_n(x))n^*n(x)$ for all $b \in B$ and $x \in X$. Here we use the canonical identification $B \cong C_0(X)$. Define the pseudogroup $\mathcal{G}(B) := \{\alpha_n\colon\ n \in N_A(B)\}$ on X. Let $G(B)$ be the groupoid of germs of $\mathcal{G}(B)$, i.e., $G(B) = \{[x,\alpha_n,y]\colon\ n \in N_A(B),\ y \in \operatorname{dom}(n),\ x = \alpha_n(y)\}$. Here $[x,\alpha_n,y] = [x,\alpha_{n'},y]$ if there exists an open neighbourhood V of y in X such that $\alpha_n|_V = \alpha_{n'}|_V$. To describe the twist, set $D := \{(x,n,y) \in X \times N_A(B) \times X\colon\ y \in \operatorname{dom}(n),\ x = \alpha_n(y)\}$ and define $\Sigma(B) := D/_\sim$, where $(x,n,y) \sim (x',n',y')$ if $y = y'$ and there exist $b,b' \in B$ with

$b(y), b'(y) > 0$ and $nb = n'b'$. $G(B)$ and $\Sigma(B)$ are topological groupoids (see [28] for details), and the canonical homomorphism $\Sigma(B) \to G(B)$, $[x, n, y] \mapsto [x, \alpha_n, y]$, yields the central extension

$$\mathbb{T} \times X \rightarrowtail \Sigma(B) \twoheadrightarrow G(B).$$

2.3. **The Rokhlin property and approximate representability.**

Definition 2.4. [19, Definitions 1.1] *For a C*-algebra A, its sequence algebra A_∞ is defined as*

$$A_\infty := \ell^\infty(\mathbb{N}, A)/c_0(\mathbb{N}, A).$$

There is a canonical embedding of A into A_∞ by (representatives) of constant sequences. If $B \subseteq A_\infty$ is a sub-C-algebra, then we also consider the relative commutant of B inside A_∞*

$$A_\infty \cap B' := \{x \in A_\infty \colon xb = bx \text{ for all } b \in B\}$$

and the (corrected) central sequence algebra

$$F_\infty(B, A) := A_\infty \cap B' / \{x \in A_\infty \colon xb = 0 \text{ for all } b \in B\}.$$

We also denote $F_\infty(A) = F_\infty(A, A)$.

Observe that $F_\infty(B, A) = A_\infty \cap B'$ if A is unital and B contains the unit of A. If B is σ-unital, then $F_\infty(B, A)$ is unital, see [19, Proposition 1.9(2)+(3)].

Definition 2.5. *Let Γ be a finite group. We denote by $\sigma : \Gamma \curvearrowright C(\Gamma)$ the canonical Γ-shift, that is, $\sigma_\gamma(f)(\eta) = f(\gamma^{-1} \cdot \eta)$ for all $f \in C(\Gamma)$ and $\gamma, \eta \in \Gamma$.*

Definition 2.6 (cf. [11, Definition 3.1] and [2, Definition 1.3])**.** *Let Γ be a finite group and A a separable C*-algebra. An action $\alpha : \Gamma \curvearrowright A$ is said to have the Rokhlin property if there exists a unital and equivariant $*$-homomorphism*

$$(C(\Gamma), \sigma) \to (F_\infty(A), \alpha_\infty),$$

where $\alpha_\infty : \Gamma \curvearrowright F_\infty(A)$ is the action induced by entry-wise application of α.

The Rokhlin property can also be spelled out concretely in terms of elements of A, see for example [2, Remark 1.4].

Definition 2.7 ([11, Definition 3.6] and [23, Definition 4.1])**.** *Let Γ be a finite abelian group and A a separable C*-algebra. An action $\alpha : \Gamma \curvearrowright A$ is said to be approximately representable if there exists a group representation $u : \Gamma \to F_\infty(A^\alpha, A)$ such that $\alpha_\gamma(a) = u_\gamma a u_\gamma^*$ for all $\gamma \in \Gamma$ and $a \in A$.*

For finite abelian groups, actions with the Rokhlin property and approximately representable actions are dual to each other.

Theorem 2.8 ([11, Lemma 3.8] and [23, Proposition 4.4])**.** *Let Γ be a finite abelian group and A a separable C*-algebra. Let $\alpha : \Gamma \curvearrowright A$ be an action. Then*

1) *α has the Rokhlin property if and only if $\hat{\alpha}$ is approximately representable;*
2) *α is approximately representable if and only if $\hat{\alpha}$ has the Rokhlin property.*

Remark 2.9. Let Γ be a finite abelian group and denote by $e_\gamma \in C(\Gamma)$ the minimal projection associated with $\gamma \in \Gamma$. Let $\alpha : \Gamma \curvearrowright A$ be an action with the Rokhlin property on a unital and separable C*-algebra. Let us remark for later purposes that for a given unital and equivariant $*$-homomorphism $\iota : (C(\Gamma), \sigma) \to (A_\infty \cap A', \alpha_\infty)$, the map

$$u : \hat{\Gamma} \to A_\infty \cap A',\ u_\chi = \sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma} \chi(\gamma)\iota(e_\gamma)$$

defines a unitary representation of the dual group $\hat{\Gamma}$. As explained in [11, Lemma 3.8], $\hat{\alpha}_\chi(x) = u_\chi^* x u_\chi$ for all $x \in A \rtimes_\alpha \Gamma$ and $\chi \in \hat{\Gamma}$. In particular, unitaries in $A_\infty = ((A \rtimes_\alpha \Gamma)^{\hat{\alpha}})_\infty$ witnessing approximate representability of $\hat{\alpha}$ can be chosen in $\iota(C(\Gamma))$.

3. Inductive limits and Cartan pairs

Let (G, Σ) and (H, T) be twisted groupoids. A twisted groupoid homomorphism is a continuous groupoid homomorphism $p : T \to \Sigma$ which is $\mathbb{T}$-equivariant, i.e., $p(z\tau) = zp(\tau)$ for all $z \in \mathbb{T}$ and $\tau \in T$. Let $\pi_H : T \twoheadrightarrow H$ and $\pi_G : \Sigma \twoheadrightarrow G$ be the canonical projections. p induces a map $\dot{p} : H \to G$, $\dot{\tau} \mapsto \pi_G(p(\tau))$. In other words, $\dot{p}$ is uniquely determined by the commutative diagram

(1)
$$\begin{array}{ccc} \Sigma & \xleftarrow{p} & T \\ {\scriptstyle \pi_G}\downarrow & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \pi_H} \\ G & \xleftarrow{\dot{p}} & H \end{array}$$

Lemma 3.1. *In the situation above, p is proper if and only if $\dot{p}$ is proper.*

Proof. This is an easy consequence of the observation that π_G and π_H are proper and commutativity of (1). □

Lemma 3.2. *Let (G, Σ) and (H, T) be twisted étale groupoids. Write $X := G^{(0)}$ and $Y := H^{(0)}$. Let $p : T \to \Sigma$ be a surjective twisted groupoid homomorphism. Assume that p is proper, and that for every $y \in Y$, $H_y \to G_{p(y)}$, $\eta \mapsto \dot{p}(\eta)$ is a bijection. Then $C_c(G, \Sigma) \to C_c(H, T)$, $f \mapsto f \circ p$ extends to an isometric homomorphism $p^* : C_r^*(G, \Sigma) \to C_r^*(H, T)$.*

Proof. By Lemma 3.1 and because p is proper, $\dot{p}$ is proper. Thus given $f \in C_c(G, \Sigma)$, we have that $\operatorname{supp}(f \circ p) = \dot{p}^{-1}(\operatorname{supp}(f))$ is compact because $\operatorname{supp}(f)$ is compact. Hence $f \circ p$ lies in $C_c(H, T)$. As p is a groupoid homomorphism, it is clear that $(f \circ p)^* = f^* \circ p$. To see multiplicativity, take $f, g \in C_c(G, \Sigma)$ and compute

$$(f * g)(p(\tau)) = \sum_{\dot{\sigma} \in G_{s(p(\tau))}} f(p(\tau)\sigma^{-1})g(\sigma) = \sum_{\dot{\upsilon} \in H_{s(\tau)}} f(p(\tau)p(\upsilon)^{-1})g(p(\upsilon)) = ((f \circ p) * (g \circ p))(\tau).$$

Here we used that $H_{s(\tau)} \to G_{p(s(\tau))} = G_{s(p(\tau))}$, $\eta \mapsto \dot{p}(\eta)$ is a bijection.

To see that $f \mapsto f \circ p$ is isometric, take $y \in Y$ and let $x := p(y)$. Write $\mathscr{H}_y$ and $\mathscr{H}_x$ for the Hilbert spaces as in § 2.1. Because $H_y \to G_x$, $\eta \mapsto \dot{p}(\eta)$ is a bijection, $U_p : \mathscr{H}_x \to \mathscr{H}_y$, $\xi \mapsto \xi \circ p$

is a unitary. For every $\xi \in \mathscr{H}_x$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} U_p(\pi_x(f)(\xi))(\tau) &= \pi_x(f)(\xi)(p(\tau)) = \sum_{\dot\sigma \in G_x} f(p(\tau)\sigma^{-1})\xi(\sigma) \\ &= \sum_{\dot\upsilon \in H_y} f(p(\tau)p(\upsilon)^{-1})\xi(p(\upsilon)) = \sum_{\dot\upsilon \in H_y} f(p(\tau\upsilon^{-1}))\xi(p(\upsilon)) = \pi_y(f\circ p)(U_p(\xi))(\tau). \end{aligned}$$

Hence $\pi_y(f\circ p) \sim_u \pi_x(f)$, and thus,

$$\bigoplus_{y\in Y} \pi_y(f\circ p) \sim_u \bigoplus_{x\in X} \bigoplus_{y\in \dot p^{-1}(x)} \pi_x(f) \sim_u \bigoplus_{x\in X} \left(I_{\ell^2(\dot p^{-1}(x))} \otimes \pi_x(f)\right),$$

where I denotes the identity operator. This shows that

$$\|f\circ p\|_{C_r^*(H,T)} = \left\|\bigoplus_{y\in Y} \pi_y(f\circ p)\right\| = \left\|\bigoplus_{x\in X} \left(I_{\ell^2(\dot p^{-1}(x))} \otimes \pi_x(f)\right)\right\| = \left\|\bigoplus_{x\in X} \pi_x(f)\right\| = \|f\|_{C_r^*(G,\Sigma)}.$$

□

Now let (H,T) and (G,Σ) be two twisted étale groupoids. Let $\imath : T \to \Sigma$ be a twisted groupoid homomorphism, and $i : H \to G$ the induced groupoid homomorphism.

Lemma 3.3. *In the situation above, $\imath(T)$ is an open subgroupoid of Σ if and only if $i(H)$ is an open subgroupoid of G.*

Proof. As π_G is open, this follows from $\imath(T) = \pi_G^{-1}(i(H))$, which is easy to see. □

The next result is the analogue of [25, Proposition 1.9] for twisted groupoids.

Lemma 3.4. *Let (H,T) and (G,Σ) be twisted étale groupoids. Let $\imath : T \hookrightarrow \Sigma$ be an injective twisted groupoid homomorphism such that $\imath(T)$ is an open subgroupoid in Σ. Then $C_c(H,T) \to C_c(G,\Sigma)$, $f \mapsto 1_T \cdot f$ extends to an isometric homomorphism $\imath_* : C_r^*(H,T) \to C_r^*(G,\Sigma)$.*

Here we identify T with an open subgroupoid of Σ via $\imath$. 1_T is the characteristic function of T, and $1_T \cdot f$ is the pointwise product, i.e., $(1_T\cdot f)(\sigma) = 0$ if $\sigma \notin T$ and $(1_T\cdot f)(\sigma) = f(\sigma)$ if $\sigma \in T$.

Proof. Let $i : H \to G$ be the groupoid homomorphism induced by $\imath$. As $\imath$ is injective, i must be so as well. Let us identify H and T with open subgroupoids of G and Σ via i and $\imath$, respectively. The image of i is open because of Lemma 3.3. As T is open in Σ, $f \mapsto 1_T \cdot f$ is well-defined (the property of having compact support is clearly preserved). It is moreover easy to see that $f \mapsto 1_T \cdot f$ is a $*$-homomorphism. It remains to prove that $f \mapsto 1_T \cdot f$ is isometric.

Fix $x \in X := G^{(0)}$. For $\alpha_1, \alpha_2 \in \Sigma_x$, define $\alpha_1 \sim \alpha_2$ if $\alpha_1\alpha_2^{-1} \in T$. Similarly, for $\gamma_1, \gamma_2 \in G_x$, define $\gamma_1 \sim \gamma_2$ if $\gamma_1\gamma_2^{-1} \in H$. It is easy to see that, for all $\alpha_1, \alpha_2 \in \Sigma_x$, $\alpha_1 \sim \alpha_2$ if and only if $\dot\alpha_1 \sim \dot\alpha_2$. Moreover, for $\alpha \in \Sigma_x$, we have $\alpha \sim \alpha$ if and only if $r(\alpha) = \alpha\alpha^{-1} \in T$ if and only if $r(\alpha) \in Y := H^{(0)}$. Define $\mathrm{P}_x := \{\alpha \in \Sigma_x \colon \alpha \sim \alpha\} = r^{-1}(Y) \cap \Sigma_x$. ($r$ here is the range map of Σ.) Restricted to P_x, $\sim$ is an equivalence relation. For $\alpha \in \mathrm{P}_x$, consider its equivalence class $[\alpha] := \{\beta \in \Sigma_x \colon \beta \sim \alpha\}$. We have a $\mathbb{T}$-equivariant homeomorphism $[\alpha] \to T_{r(\alpha)}$, $\beta \mapsto \beta\alpha^{-1}$, whose inverse is given by $\tau\alpha \leftarrow\!\shortmid \tau$. Let $\mathscr{H}_x$ be the Hilbert space as in § 2.1. Given $\alpha \in \mathrm{P}_x$, define $\mathscr{H}_{[\alpha]} := \{\xi \in \mathscr{H}_x \colon \xi(\beta) = 0 \text{ for all } \beta \notin [\alpha]\}$. Also, let $\mathscr{H}_{\mathrm{P}_x^{\mathrm{c}}} := \{\xi \in \mathscr{H}_x \colon \xi(\sigma) = 0 \text{ for all } \sigma \in \mathrm{P}_x\}$. Then $\mathscr{H}_x = \left(\bigoplus_{[\alpha]\in \mathrm{P}_x/\sim} \mathscr{H}_{[\alpha]}\right) \oplus \mathscr{H}_{\mathrm{P}_x^{\mathrm{c}}}$. For $y \in Y$, let $\mathscr{H}_y$ be

the Hilbert space as in § 2.1. For $\alpha \in \mathrm{P}_x$, consider the unitary $U_\alpha : \mathscr{H}_{[\alpha]} \to \mathscr{H}_{r(\alpha)}, \xi \mapsto \xi(\sqcup\alpha)$. Write $[\dot\alpha] := \{\gamma \in G_x\colon \gamma \sim \dot\alpha\}$. Given $\xi \in \mathscr{H}_{[\alpha]}$ and $\beta \in \Sigma_x$,

$$\pi_x(1_T \cdot f)(\xi)(\beta) = \sum_{\dot\sigma \in G_x} (1_T \cdot f)(\beta\sigma^{-1})\xi(\sigma) = \sum_{\dot\sigma \in [\dot\alpha]} (1_T \cdot f)(\beta\sigma^{-1})\xi(\sigma)$$

vanishes unless $\beta\sigma^{-1} \in T$, i.e., $\beta \sim \sigma \sim \alpha$. Hence $\pi_x(1_T \cdot f)(\mathscr{H}_{[\alpha]}) \subseteq \mathscr{H}_{[\alpha]}$. We have

$$\begin{aligned}\pi_{r(\alpha)}(f)(U_\alpha\xi)(\tau) &= \sum_{\dot\upsilon \in H_{r(\alpha)}} f(\tau\upsilon^{-1})\xi(\upsilon\alpha) = \sum_{\dot\upsilon \in H_{r(\alpha)}} f((\tau\alpha)(\upsilon\alpha)^{-1})\xi(\upsilon\alpha)\\ &= \sum_{\dot\beta \in [\dot\alpha]} f(\tau\alpha\beta^{-1})\xi(\beta) = \sum_{\dot\beta \in G_x} (1_T \cdot f)(\tau\alpha\beta^{-1})\xi(\beta) = \pi_x(1_T \cdot f)(\xi)(\tau\alpha)\\ &= U_\alpha(\pi_x(1_T \cdot f)(\xi))(\tau).\end{aligned}$$

This shows that $\pi_x(1_T \cdot f)|_{\mathscr{H}_{[\alpha]}} \sim_u \pi_{r(\alpha)}(f)$. It is clear that $\pi_x(1_T \cdot f)|_{\mathscr{H}_{\mathrm{P}_x^{\mathrm{c}}}} = 0_{\mathrm{P}_x^{\mathrm{c}}}$. Thus $\pi_x(1_T \cdot f) \sim_u \left(\bigoplus_{[\alpha]\in \mathrm{P}_x/\sim} \pi_{r(\alpha)}(f)\right) \oplus 0_{\mathrm{P}_x^{\mathrm{c}}}$. Hence

$$\begin{aligned}\|1_T \cdot f\|_{C_r^*(G,\Sigma)} &= \left\|\bigoplus_{x\in X} \pi_x(1_T \cdot f)\right\| = \left\|\bigoplus_{x\in X} \left(\left(\bigoplus_{[\alpha]\in \mathrm{P}_x/\sim} \pi_{r(\alpha)}(f)\right) \oplus 0_{\mathrm{P}_x^{\mathrm{c}}}\right)\right\|\\ &= \sup\left\{\left\|\pi_{r(\alpha)}(f)\right\|\colon [\alpha] \in \mathrm{P}_x/\sim, x \in X\right\} = \sup\{\|\pi_y(f)\|\colon y \in Y\} = \|f\|_{C_r^*(H,T)}.\end{aligned}$$

□

Recall that for a locally compact Hausdorff space X, a sequence $(f_n)_n \in C_c(X)$ is said to converge to $f \in C_c(X)$ in the inductive limit topology if there exists a compact subset $K \subseteq X$ such that $\operatorname{supp}(f_n), \operatorname{supp}(f) \subseteq K$ for all n and f_n converges to f on K uniformly.

Lemma 3.5. *Let G_j be locally compact Hausdorff spaces and $p_j : G_{j+1} \to G_j$ continuous proper maps. Form the inverse limit $\bar G := \varprojlim_j \{G_j; p_j\}$ and let $p_j^\infty : \bar G \to G_j$ be the canonical projections.*

Then $\bar G$ becomes a locally compact Hausdorff second countable topological groupoid such that all p_j^∞ are proper and $\bigcup_j (p_j^\infty)^*(C_c(G_j))$ is dense in $C_c(\bar G)$ in the inductive limit topology.

Proof. By definition,

$$\bar G = \varprojlim_j \{G_j; p_j\} = \left\{(\gamma_j) \in \prod_j G_j\colon p_j(\gamma_{j+1}) = \gamma_j\right\} \subseteq \prod_j G_j,$$

and the topology on $\bar G$ is given by the subspace topology from $\prod_j G_j$. It is clear that $\bar G$ is Hausdorff and second countable. To see that $\bar G$ is locally compact, take an arbitrary element $\bar\gamma = (\gamma_j)$ in $\bar G$. Choose a compact neighbourhood U_0 of $\gamma_0 \in G_0$. Define recursively $U_{j+1} := p_j^{-1}(U_j)$. Since all p_j are proper, all the U_j are compact. Hence

$$p_0^{-1}(U_0) = \left\{(\eta_j) \in \bar G\colon \eta_j \in U_j\right\} \subseteq \prod_j U_j$$

is a compact neighbourhood of $\bar\gamma$. Therefore, with component-wise groupoid operations $\bar G_k$ becomes a locally compact Hausdorff second countable topological groupoid.

Now let us show that p_j^∞ is proper. Take $K_j \subseteq G_j$ compact. Define recursively $K_{i+1} := p_{k+i}^{-1}(K_i)$ if $i \geq j$ and $K_{i-1} := p_{k+i-1}(K_i)$ if $i \leq j$. This gives us compact subsets $K_i \subseteq G_i$, for all i, and we obviously have that $(p_j^\infty)^{-1}(K_j) = \big\{(\gamma_i) \in \bar{G}\colon\ \gamma_i \in K_i \text{ for all } i\big\}$ is compact.

The proof that $\bigcup_j (p_j^\infty)^*(C_c(G_j))$ is dense in $C_c(\bar{G})$ in the inductive limit topology is a straightforward partition of unity argument, which we leave to the reader. □

For $k = 1, 2, \ldots$, let $(A_k, B_k) = (C_r^*(G_k, \Sigma_k), C_0(X_k))$ for twisted étale groupoids (G_k, Σ_k) given by

$$\mathbb{T} \times X_k \overset{\iota_k}{\rightarrowtail} \Sigma_k \overset{\pi_k}{\twoheadrightarrow} G_k,$$

where $X_k = G_k^{(0)}$. Assume that, for all k, $\varphi_k : A_k \to A_{k+1}$ is an injective $*$-homomorphism with $\varphi_k(B_k) \subseteq B_{k+1}$. Further assume that, for all k, (H_k, T_k) is a twisted étale groupoid such that H_k is an open subgroupoid of G_{k+1} and $T_k = \pi_{k+1}^{-1}(H_k)$. For all k, let $\imath_k : T_k \to \Sigma_{k+1}$ and $i_k : H_k \to G_{k+1}$ be the canonical inclusions. For all k, let $p_k : T_k \to \Sigma_k$ be a twisted groupoid homomorphism. Assume that, for all k, p_k is surjective, proper, and that for all $y \in Y_k := H_k^{(0)}$, $(H_k)_y \to (G_k)_{p_k(y)}$, $\eta \mapsto \dot{p}_k(\eta)$ is a bijection. Further assume that for all k, $\varphi_k = (i_k)_* \circ p_k^*$. Suppose that the following two conditions are satisfied:

(LT) For every open subset $U \subseteq G_k$ and continuous section $\rho : U \to \Sigma_k$ for π_k, there is a continuous section $\tilde{\rho} : \dot{p}_k^{-1}(U) \to T_k$ for $\pi_{k+1}|_{T_k}$, such that

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \Sigma_k & \xleftarrow{p_k} & T_k \\ {\scriptstyle\rho}\uparrow & & \uparrow{\scriptstyle\tilde{\rho}} \\ U & \xleftarrow{\dot{p}_k} & \dot{p}_k^{-1}(U) \end{array}$$

commutes.

(E) For every open subset $U \subseteq X_k$ and continuous section $t : U \to G_k$ for the source map of G_k, where t has open image, there is a continuous section $\tilde{t} : \dot{p}_k^{-1}(U) \to H_k$ for the source map of H_k, where $\tilde{t}$ has open image, such that

$$\begin{array}{ccc} G_k & \xleftarrow{\dot{p}_k} & H_k \\ {\scriptstyle t}\uparrow & & \uparrow{\scriptstyle\tilde{t}} \\ U & \xleftarrow{\dot{p}_k} & \dot{p}_k^{-1}(U) \end{array}$$

commutes.

For all k, define recursively for all $j = 0, 1, \ldots$: $\Sigma_{k,0} := \Sigma_k$ and $\Sigma_{k,j+1} := p_{k+j}^{-1}(\Sigma_{k,j}) \subseteq T_{k+j}$. Similarly, for all k, define recursively for all $j = 0, 1, \ldots$: $G_{k,0} := G_k$ and $G_{k,j+1} := \dot{p}_{k+j}^{-1}(G_{k,j}) \subseteq H_{k+j}$. Set $\bar{\Sigma}_k := \varprojlim_j \{\Sigma_{k,j}; p_{k+j}\}$ and $\bar{G}_k := \varprojlim_j \{G_{k,j}; \dot{p}_{k+j}\}$. In this situation, we have

Theorem 3.6.

(a) *For all k, $(\bar{G}_k, \bar{\Sigma}_k)$ is a twisted étale groupoid. Moreover, the inclusion maps $\imath_k$ and i_k induce, for all k, an injective twisted groupoid homomorphism $\bar{\imath}_k : \bar{\Sigma}_k \to \bar{\Sigma}_{k+1}$ and an injective continuous groupoid homomorphism $\bar{i}_k : \bar{G}_k \to \bar{G}_{k+1}$ with open image. Setting $\bar{\Sigma} := \varinjlim_k \big\{\bar{\Sigma}_k; \bar{\imath}_k\big\}$ and $\bar{G} := \varinjlim_k \big\{\bar{G}_k; \bar{i}_k\big\}$, $(\bar{G}, \bar{\Sigma})$ is a twisted étale groupoid.*

(b) *We have $\varinjlim_k \{A_k; \varphi_k\} \cong C_r^*(\bar{G}, \bar{\Sigma})$ and $\varinjlim_k \{B_k; \varphi_k\} \cong C_0(\bar{G}^{(0)})$.*

(c) *If for all k, G_k is topologically principal, then also $\bar{G}_k$ is topologically principal for all k, and $\bar{G}$ is topologically principal. In that case, $\left(\varinjlim_k \{A_k;\varphi_k\}, \varinjlim_k \{B_k;\varphi_k\}\right) \cong \left(C_r^*(\bar{G},\bar{\Sigma}), C_0(\bar{G}^{(0)})\right)$ is a Cartan pair.*

Proof. Let us first prove (a) and (b). By definition,

$$\bar{\Sigma}_k = \varprojlim_j \{\Sigma_{k,j}; p_{k+j}\} = \left\{(\sigma_j) \in \prod_j \Sigma_{k,j} \colon\ p_{k+j}(\sigma_{j+1}) = \sigma_j\right\} \subseteq \prod_j \Sigma_{k,j},$$

and the topology on $\bar{\Sigma}_k$ is given by the subspace topology from $\prod_j \Sigma_{k,j}$. Let $p_j^k : \bar{\Sigma}_k \twoheadrightarrow \Sigma_{k,j}$ be the canonical projection. Similarly,

$$\bar{G}_k = \varprojlim_j \{G_{k,j}; \dot{p}_{k+j}\} = \left\{(\gamma_j) \in \prod_j G_{k,j} \colon\ \dot{p}_{k+j}(\gamma_{j+1}) = \gamma_j\right\} \subseteq \prod_j G_{k,j},$$

with the subspace topology from $\prod_j G$. Let $\dot{p}_j^k : \bar{G}_k \twoheadrightarrow G_{k,j}$ be the canonical projection.

It follows from Lemma 3.1 that, with component-wise groupoid operations, both $\bar{G}_k$ and $\bar{\Sigma}_k$ become locally compact Hausdorff second countable topological groupoids.

Let $X_{k,j} := G_{k,j}^{(0)}$ and $\bar{X}_k := \bar{G}_k^{(0)}$. Clearly, we have

$$\bar{X}_k = \left\{(\gamma_j) \in \bar{G}_k \colon\ \gamma_j \in X_{k,j} \text{ for all } j\right\}.$$

Hence

$$\bar{X}_k = \varprojlim_j \{X_{k,j}; \dot{p}_{k+j}\}. \tag{2}$$

To show that $\bar{G}_k$ is étale, we have to prove that the source map $\bar{s} : \bar{G}_k \to \bar{X}_k$, $(\gamma_j) \mapsto (s(\gamma_j))$ is a local homeomorphism. Equivalently, we show that for any given $\bar{\gamma} = (\gamma_j) \in \bar{G}_k$, there exists an open subset $\bar{U} \subseteq \bar{X}_k$ containing $\bar{x} = \bar{s}(\bar{\gamma}) = (x_j)$ and a continuous section $\bar{t} : \bar{U} \to \bar{G}_k$ with open image for $\bar{s}$ such that $\bar{t}(\bar{x}) = \bar{\gamma}$. Let $U \subseteq X_k$ be an open neighbourhood of x_0 and $t_0 : U \to G_k$ a continuous section with open image for s (the source map of G_k), with $t_0(x_0) = \gamma_0$. Define recursively $U_{j+1} := \dot{p}_{k+j}^{-1}(U_j)$. By condition (E), we can recursively find continuous sections $t_{j+1} : U_{j+1} \to G_{k,j+1}$ with open image for the source map of $G_{k,j+1}$ such that

$$\begin{array}{ccc} G_{k,j} & \xleftarrow{\dot{p}_{k+j}} & G_{k,j+1} \\ {\scriptstyle t_j}\uparrow & & \uparrow{\scriptstyle t_{j+1}} \\ U_j & \xleftarrow{\dot{p}_{k+j}} & U_{j+1} \end{array}$$

commutes. Thus $\bar{t} : (\dot{p}_0^k)^{-1}(U_0) \to \bar{G}_k$, $(x_j) \mapsto (t_j(x_j))$ is a continuous section with open image for $\bar{s}$. In addition, we must have $\bar{t}(\bar{x}) = \bar{\gamma}$, i.e., $t_j(x_j) = \gamma_j$. This follows inductively from $t_0(x_0) = \gamma_0$, $\dot{p}_{k+j}(t_{j+1}(x_{j+1})) = t_j(x_j)$, $\dot{p}_{k+j}(\gamma_{j+1}) = \gamma_j$ and the assumption that $\dot{p}_{k+j}|_{(G_{k,j+1})_{x_{j+1}}} : (G_{k,j+1})_{x_{j+1}} \to (G_{k,j})_{x_j}$ is bijective. Hence $\bar{G}_k$ is étale, for all k.

Define $\mathbb{T} \curvearrowright \bar{\Sigma}_k$ by $z(\sigma_j) = (z\sigma_j)$ and $\bar{\pi}_k : \bar{\Sigma}_k \to \bar{G}_k$, $(\sigma_j) \mapsto (\pi_{k+j}(\sigma_j))$. Obviously, the $\mathbb{T}$-action is continuous, free and transitive. Assume that for $(\sigma_j) \in \bar{\Sigma}_k$, $\bar{\pi}_k(\sigma_j) = (x_j) \in \bar{X}_k$. Then we know that there are $z_j \in \mathbb{T}$ with $\sigma_j = z_j x_j$ for all j. Let $z := z_0$. Then it follows from

$$z_{j+1}x_j = z_{j+1}\dot{p}_{k+j}(x_{j+1}) = p_{k+j}(\sigma_{j+1}) = \sigma_j = z_j x_j$$

that $z_{j+1} = z_j$, and hence $z_j = z$ for all j. Thus the canonical projection $\bar{\Sigma}_k/\mathbb{T} \to \bar{G}_k$ induced by $\bar{\pi}_k$ is continuous and injective, which shows that $\bar{\Sigma}_k/\mathbb{T}$ is Hausdorff. Let us show that $\bar{\pi}_k : \bar{\Sigma}_k \to \bar{G}_k$ is surjective and defines a locally trivial $\mathbb{T}$-bundle. Let $\bar{\gamma} = (\gamma_j) \in \bar{G}_k$. Let $U_0 \subseteq G_k$ be an open neighbourhood of γ_0 and $\rho_0 : U_0 \to \Sigma_k$ a continuous section for π_k. Define recursively $U_{j+1} := \dot{p}_{k+j}^{-1}(U_j)$. By condition (LT), we can recursively find continuous sections $\rho_{j+1} : U_{j+1} \to \bar{\Sigma}_{j+1}$ for π_{k+j+1} such that

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \Sigma_{k,j} & \xleftarrow{p_{k+j}} & \Sigma_{k,j+1} \\ {\scriptstyle\rho_j}\uparrow & & \uparrow{\scriptstyle\rho_{j+1}} \\ U_j & \xleftarrow{\dot{p}_{k+j}} & U_{j+1} \end{array}$$

commutes. Then $\bar{\rho} : (\dot{p}_0^k)^{-1}(U_0) \to \bar{\Sigma}_k$, $(\gamma_j) \mapsto (\rho_j(\gamma_j))$ is a continuous section for $\bar{\pi}_k$. In particular, $\bar{\pi}_k(\bar{\rho}(\bar{\gamma})) = \bar{\gamma}$, and we see that $\bar{\pi}_k$ is surjective. As each π_{k+j} defines a locally trivial principal $\mathbb{T}$-bundle, we obtain homeomorphisms

$$\mathbb{T} \times U_j \xrightarrow{\cong} \pi_{k+j}^{-1}(U_j),\ (z, \gamma) \mapsto z\rho_j(\gamma) \text{ for all } z \in \mathbb{T},\ \gamma \in U_j \tag{3}$$

with inverse $(z_j(\sigma), \dot{\sigma}) \hookleftarrow \sigma$. It follows that

$$\mathbb{T} \times \bar{U} \xrightarrow{\cong} \bar{\pi}_k^{-1}(\bar{U}),\ (z, \gamma) \mapsto z\bar{\rho}(\gamma) \text{ for all } z \in \mathbb{T},\ \gamma \in \bar{U} \tag{4}$$

defines a homeomorphism with inverse $(z(\sigma), \dot{\sigma}) \hookleftarrow \sigma$. Hence, $\bar{\pi}_k$ indeed defines a locally trivial principal $\mathbb{T}$-bundle. Thus, for all k, $(\bar{G}_k, \bar{\Sigma}_k)$ is a twisted étale groupoid.

By Lemma 3.5 and Lemma 3.1, all p_j^k and $\dot{p}_j^k$ are proper. Moreover, p_j^k is surjective since p_{k+j} is surjective for all j. Now let us show that, for every $\bar{x} = (x_j) \in \bar{X}_k$, the restriction of $\dot{p}_j^k$ to $(\bar{G}_k)_{\bar{x}}$ is bijective. It suffices to show that $(\gamma_i) \in (\bar{G}_k)_{\bar{x}}$ is uniquely determined by $\gamma_j = \dot{p}_j^k((\gamma_i))$. This now follows inductively as, for $i \geq j$, γ_{i+1} is determined by γ_i because of $\dot{p}_{k+i}(\gamma_{i+1}) = \gamma_i$ and $\dot{p}_{k+i}$ induces a bijection $(G_{k,i+1})_{x_{i+1}} \to (G_{k,i})_{x_i}$, while for $i \leq j$, $\dot{p}_{k+i-1}(\gamma_i) = \gamma_{i-1}$ shows that γ_i determines γ_{i-1}.

Thus Lemma 3.2 tells us that $C_c(G_{k,j}, \Sigma_{k,j}) \to C_c(\bar{G}_k, \bar{\Sigma}_k)$, $f \mapsto f \circ p_j^k$ extends to an isometric homomorphism $(p_j^k)^* : C_r^*(G_{k,j}, \Sigma_{k,j}) \to C_r^*(\bar{G}_k, \bar{\Sigma}_k)$. Let us now show that this collection $(p_j^k)^*$ gives rise to an isomorphism $\varinjlim_j \left\{C_r^*(G_{k,j}, \Sigma_{k,j}); p_{k+j}^*\right\} \xrightarrow{\cong} C_r^*(\bar{G}_k, \bar{\Sigma}_k)$ which identifies $\varinjlim_j \left\{C_0(X_{k,j}); p_{k+j}^*\right\}$ with $C_0(\bar{X}_k)$.

We have $(p_{j+1}^k)^* \circ (p_{k+j})^* = (p_{k+j} \circ p_{j+1}^k)^* = (p_j^k)^*$, so that the $(p_j^k)^*$ indeed induce a homomorphism $\varinjlim_j (p_j^k)^* : \varinjlim_j \left\{C_r^*(G_{k,j}, \Sigma_{k,j}); p_{k+j}^*\right\} \to C_r^*(\bar{G}_k, \bar{\Sigma}_k)$. As all the $(p_j^k)^*$ are isometric, $\varinjlim_j (p_j^k)^*$ must be isometric as well. Hence it suffices to show that $\varinjlim_j (p_j^k)^*$ has dense image. For that purpose, it is enough to show that for every $f \in C_c(\bar{G}_k, \bar{\Sigma}_k)$, there exists a sequence $f_m \in \bigcup_j (p_j^k)^*(C_c(G_{k,j}, \Sigma_{k,j}))$ such that $f_m \longrightarrow_m f$ in the inductive limit topology. The latter means that there is a compact subset $K \subseteq \bar{G}_k$ such that $\operatorname{supp}(f_m) \subseteq K$ for all m, and we have $\lim_{m\to\infty} \|f - f_m\|_\infty = 0$.

Let $U_0 \subseteq G_k$ be an open subset and $\rho_0 : U_0 \to \Sigma_k$ a continuous section for π_k. As above, using condition (LT), find a continuous section $\bar{\rho} : \bar{U} := (\dot{p}_0^k)^{-1}(U) \to \bar{\Sigma}_k$ for $\bar{\pi}_k$. We have

$\bar{\rho} = (\rho_j)$, where $\rho_j : U_j \to \Sigma_{k,j}$ are continuous sections for π_{k+j}, such that

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \Sigma_{k,j} & \xleftarrow{p_j^k} & \bar{\Sigma}_k \\ {\scriptstyle\rho_j}\uparrow & & \uparrow{\scriptstyle\bar{\rho}} \\ U_j & \xleftarrow{\dot{p}_j^k} & \bar{U} \end{array} \tag{5}$$

commutes. Recall the homeomorphisms $\pi_{k+j}^{-1}(U_j) \xrightarrow{\cong} \mathbb{T} \times U_j$, $\sigma \mapsto (z_j(\sigma), \dot{\sigma})$ and $\bar{\pi}_k^{-1}(\bar{U}) \xrightarrow{\cong} \mathbb{T} \times \bar{U}$, $\sigma \mapsto (z(\sigma), \dot{\sigma})$ given as in (3) and (4), respectively. Because (5) commutes, we must have

$$z(\sigma) = z_j(p_j^k(\sigma)) \text{ for all } \sigma \in \bar{\pi}_k^{-1}(\bar{U}). \tag{6}$$

Take any $f \in C_c(\bar{G}_k, \bar{\Sigma}_k)$ with $\operatorname{supp}(f) \subseteq \bar{U}$. We find $\dot{f} \in C_c(\bar{G}_k)$ with $\operatorname{supp}(\dot{f}) \subseteq \bar{U}$ such that $f(\sigma) = \overline{z(\sigma)}\dot{f}(\dot{\sigma})$ for all $\sigma \in \bar{\pi}_k^{-1}(\bar{U}) \cong \mathbb{T} \times \bar{U}$. By Lemma 3.5, we can find a fixed compact subset $K \subseteq \bar{G}_k$ with $K \subseteq \bar{U}$ and for all $\varepsilon > 0$ a function $\dot{g} \in C_c(G_{k,j})$ satisfying $\operatorname{supp}(\dot{g} \circ \dot{p}_j^k) \subseteq K$ and $\left\| \dot{f} - \dot{g} \circ \dot{p}_j^k \right\|_\infty < \varepsilon$. As $\dot{p}_j^k(K) \subseteq U_j$, we can arrange $\operatorname{supp}(\dot{g}) \subseteq U_j$, so that we may define $g \in C_c(G_{k,j}, \Sigma_{k,j})$ with $\operatorname{supp}(g) \subseteq U_j$ and $g(\sigma) = \overline{z_j(\sigma)}\dot{g}(\dot{\sigma})$ for all $\sigma \in \pi_{k+j}^{-1}(U_j) \cong \mathbb{T} \times U_j$. We have $\operatorname{supp}(g \circ p_j^k) = \operatorname{supp}(\dot{g} \circ \dot{p}_j^k) \subseteq K$ and, for all $\sigma \in \bar{\Sigma}_k$,

$$\left|f(\sigma) - g(p_j^k(\sigma))\right| = \left|f(\sigma) - \overline{z_j(p_j^k(\sigma))}\dot{g}(\dot{p}_j^k(\dot{\sigma}))\right| \overset{(6)}{=} \left|\overline{z(\sigma)}\left(\dot{f}(\dot{\sigma}) - \dot{g}(\dot{p}_j^k(\dot{\sigma}))\right)\right| < \varepsilon.$$

Hence $\varinjlim_j (p_j^k)^*$ is indeed an isomorphism $\varinjlim_j \left\{C_r^*(G_{k,j}, \Sigma_{k,j}); p_{k+j}^*\right\} \xrightarrow{\cong} C_r^*(\bar{G}_k, \bar{\Sigma}_k)$.

Since the restriction of p_{k+j} to $(G_{k,j+1})_y$ is injective for all $y \in X_{k,j+1}$, we have $p_{k+j}^{-1}(X_{k,j}) \subseteq X_{k,j+1}$. To see this, let $\eta \in \Sigma_{k,j+1}$ with $p_{k+j}(\eta) = x \in X_{k,j}$. Let $y = s(\eta)$. Then both $\dot{\eta}$ and y lie in $(G_{k,j+1})_y$, and $\dot{p}_{k+j}(\dot{\eta}) = \dot{p}_{k+j}(y) = x$. Hence $\dot{\eta} = y$. This implies $\eta = zy$ for some $z \in \mathbb{T}$, and $x = p_{k+j}(\eta) = p_{k+j}(zy) = zx$ implies $z = 1$. Thus $\eta = y \in X_{k,j+1}$. This shows $p_{k+j}^{-1}(X_{k,j}) \subseteq X_{k,j+1}$. We conclude that $\varinjlim_j (p_j^k)^*$ sends $\varinjlim_j \left\{C_0(X_{k,j}); p_{k+j}^*\right\}$ into $C_0(\bar{X}_k)$. Another application of Lemma 3.5 yields that $\left(\varinjlim_j (p_j^k)^*\right)\left(\varinjlim_j \left\{C_0(X_{k,j}); p_{k+j}^*\right\}\right)$ is dense in $C_0(\bar{X}_k)$, so that

$$\varinjlim_j (p_j^k)^* \left(\varinjlim_j \left\{C_0(X_{k,j}); p_{k+j}^*\right\}\right) = C_0(\bar{X}_k). \tag{7}$$

For all k, define $\bar{\imath}_k : \bar{\Sigma}_k \to \bar{\Sigma}_{k+1}$, $(\sigma_j)_j \mapsto (\imath_{k+j}(\sigma_{j+1}))_j$. Clearly, $\bar{\imath}_k$ is an injective twisted groupoid homomorphism. The image of $\bar{\imath}_k$ is given by $\bar{\imath}_k(\bar{\Sigma}_k) = (p_0^{k+1})^{-1}(T_k)$, hence it is open. Similarly, $\bar{i}_k : \bar{G}_k \to \bar{G}_{k+1}$, $(\gamma_j)_j \mapsto (i_{k+j}(\gamma_{j+1}))_j$ is an injective continuous groupoid homomorphism with open image. Let $\bar{\Sigma} := \varinjlim_k \left\{\bar{\Sigma}_k; \bar{\imath}_k\right\}$ and $\bar{G} := \varinjlim_k \left\{\bar{G}_k; \bar{i}_k\right\}$, $(\bar{G}, \bar{\Sigma})$, equipped with the inductive limit topology. Let $\bar{\imath}_k^\infty : \bar{\Sigma}_k \to \bar{\Sigma}$ and $\bar{i}_k^\infty : \bar{G}_k \to \bar{G}$ be the canonical inclusions. The maps $\bar{\imath}_k^\infty$ have open image, as $(\bar{\imath}_l^\infty)^{-1}(\bar{\Sigma}_k) = \bar{\imath}_{l,k}(\bar{\Sigma}_k) \subseteq \bar{\Sigma}_l$ is open for $l \geq k$, where $\bar{\imath}_{l,k} = \bar{\imath}_{l-1} \circ \ldots \circ \bar{\imath}_{k+1} \circ \bar{\imath}_k$. Similarly, the $\bar{i}_k^\infty$ all have open image.

By construction, it is clear that $(\bar{G}, \bar{\Sigma})$ is a twisted étale groupoid. It is also clear that $\bar{G}^{(0)} = \varinjlim_k \left\{\bar{X}_k; \bar{\imath}_k\right\}$. By Lemma 3.4, $\bar{\imath}_k^\infty$ induces an isometric homomorphism $(\bar{\imath}_k^\infty)_* : C_r^*(\bar{G}_k, \bar{\Sigma}_k) \to$

$C_r^*(\bar{G},\bar{\Sigma})$. We hence obtain an isometric homomorphism $\varinjlim_k(\bar{\imath}_k^\infty)_*:\varinjlim_k\left\{C_r^*(\bar{G}_k,\bar{\Sigma}_k);(\bar{\imath}_k)_*\right\}\to C_r^*(\bar{G},\bar{\Sigma})$. It has dense image since every $f\in C_c(\bar{G},\bar{\Sigma})$ has $\operatorname{supp}(f)\subseteq\bar{\imath}_k^\infty(\bar{G}_k)$ for some k, so that $f\in(\bar{\imath}_k^\infty)_*(C_r^*(\bar{G}_k,\bar{\Sigma}_k))$. Hence $\varinjlim_k(\bar{\imath}_k^\infty)_*$ is an isomorphism $\varinjlim_k\left\{C_r^*(\bar{G}_k,\bar{\Sigma}_k);(\bar{\imath}_k)_*\right\}\xrightarrow{\cong}C_r^*(\bar{G},\bar{\Sigma})$. Since $\bar{G}^{(0)}=\varinjlim_k\left\{\bar{X}_k;\bar{\imath}_k\right\}$, we must have

$$\varinjlim_k(\bar{\imath}_k^\infty)_*\left(\varinjlim_k\left\{C_0(\bar{X}_k);(\bar{\imath}_k)_*\right\}\right)=C_0(\bar{G}^{(0)}). \tag{8}$$

Now consider the composition $(\bar{\imath}_k^\infty)_*\circ(p_0^k)^*:\ C_r^*(G_k,\Sigma_k)\xrightarrow{(p_0^k)^*}C_r^*(\bar{G}_k,\bar{\Sigma}_k)\xrightarrow{(\bar{\imath}_k^\infty)_*}C_r^*(\bar{G},\bar{\Sigma})$. As

$$(\bar{\imath}_{k+1}^\infty)_*\circ(p_0^{k+1})^*\circ(\imath_k)_*\circ(p_k)^*=(\bar{\imath}_k^\infty)_*\circ(p_0^k)^*,$$

we obtain an isometric homomorphism

$$\varinjlim_k\left((\bar{\imath}_k^\infty)_*\circ(p_0^k)^*\right):\ \varinjlim_k\{C_r^*(G_k,\Sigma_k);(\imath_k)_*\circ(p_k)^*\}\to C_r^*(\bar{G},\bar{\Sigma}).$$

It has dense image because it contains, for all j,

$$\begin{aligned}\left((\bar{\imath}_{k+j}^\infty)_*\circ(p_0^{k+j})^*\right)(C_c(G_{k,j},\Sigma_{k,j}))&=\left((\bar{\imath}_{k+j}^\infty)_*\circ(\bar{\imath}_{k+j,k})_*\circ(p_j^k)^*\right)(C_c(G_{k,j},\Sigma_{k,j}))\\&=\left((\bar{\imath}_k^\infty)_*\circ(p_j^k)^*\right)(C_c(G_{k,j},\Sigma_{k,j}))\,,\end{aligned}$$

so that it is dense in $(\bar{\imath}_k^\infty)_*(C_r^*(\bar{G}_k,\bar{\Sigma}_k))$ for all k, hence also dense in $C_r^*(\bar{G},\bar{\Sigma})$.

Thus $\varinjlim_k\left((\bar{\imath}_k^\infty)_*\circ(p_0^k)^*\right)$ is an isomorphism $\varinjlim_k\{C_r^*(G_k,\Sigma_k);(\imath_k)_*\circ(p_k)^*\}\xrightarrow{\cong}C_r^*(\bar{G},\bar{\Sigma})$. (7) and (8) show that $\left(\varinjlim_k\left((\bar{\imath}_k^\infty)_*\circ(p_0^k)^*\right)\right)\left(\varinjlim_k\{C_0(X_k);(\imath_k)_*\circ(p_k)^*\}\right)=C_0(\bar{G}^{(0)})$. This proves (a) and (b).

For (c), assume that for every k, G_k is topologically principal. We first show that

$$\mathfrak{X}_k:=\left\{\bar{x}=(x_j)\in\bar{X}_k\colon\ (G_{k+i})_{x_i}^{x_i}=\{x_i\}\ \text{ for some } i\right\}$$

is dense in $\bar{X}_k$. As non-empty open subsets of the form $\bigcap_{h=0}^i(p_h^k)^{-1}(U_h)$ form a basis for the topology of $\bar{X}_k$, where the U_h are open subsets of X_{k+h}, it suffices to find $\bar{x}\in\mathfrak{X}_k$ with $\bar{x}\in\bigcap_{h=0}^i(p_h^k)^{-1}(U_h)$. We have $\bigcap_{h=0}^i(p_h^k)^{-1}(U_h)=(p_i^k)^{-1}\left(\bigcap_{h=0}^i p_{h,i}^{-1}(U_h)\right)$, where $p_{h,i}=p_{k+h}\circ\ldots\circ p_{k+i-1}$. As G_{k+i} is topologically principal, we can find $x_i\in\bigcap_{h=0}^i p_{h,i}^{-1}(U_h)$ with $(G_{k+i})_{x_i}^{x_i}=\{x_i\}$. As p_i^k is surjective, we can find $\bar{x}\in\bar{X}_k$ with $p_i^k(\bar{x})=x_i$. Thus, $\mathfrak{X}_k$ is indeed dense in $\bar{X}_k$. Hence, for all k, $\bar{\imath}_k^\infty(\mathfrak{X}_k)$ is dense in $\bar{\imath}_k^\infty(\bar{X}_k)$. It thus remains to show that for every k and $\bar{x}\in\mathfrak{X}_k$, $\bar{\imath}_k^\infty(\bar{x})$ has trivial stabilizer group in $\bar{G}$. As $\bar{x}=(x_j)$ lies in $\mathfrak{X}_k$, there exists i such that $(G_{k+i})_{x_i}^{x_i}=\{x_i\}$. Let us first show that for all $j\geq i$, we must have $(G_{k+j})_{x_j}^{x_j}=\{x_j\}$. Proceeding inductively on j, we may assume that $(G_{k+j})_{x_j}^{x_j}=\{x_j\}$ and have to show that $(G_{k+j+1})_{x_{j+1}}^{x_{j+1}}=\{x_{j+1}\}$. By assumption, $\dot{p}_{k+j}$ restricts to a bijection $(G_{k+j+1})_{x_{j+1}}\to(G_{k+j})_{x_j}$. Take $\gamma\in(G_{k+j+1})_{x_{j+1}}$ with $r(\gamma)=x_{j+1}$. Then $r(\dot{p}_{k+j}(\gamma))=x_j$, so that $\dot{p}_{k+j}(\gamma)=x_j$, and thus $\gamma=x_{j+1}$. Hence, we indeed have $(G_{k+j})_{x_j}^{x_j}=\{x_j\}$ for all $j\geq i$. Now take $\bar{\gamma}\in\bar{G}$ with range and source equal to $\bar{\imath}_k^\infty(\bar{x})$. We have $\bar{\gamma}=\bar{\imath}_l^\infty(\gamma)$ for some $\gamma\in\bar{G}_l$, and we may without loss of generality assume that $l\geq k$. Let $y=\bar{\imath}_{l,k}(\bar{x})$. It follows from injectivity of $\bar{\imath}_l^\infty$ that $\gamma\in(\bar{G}_l)_y^y$. Write $y=(y_j)$ and $\gamma=(\gamma_j)$. Then we have $y_j=x_{j+l-k}$ for all j. Hence, by what we have just shown, $(G_{l+j})_{y_j}^{y_j}=\{y_j\}$ for all $j\geq i$. This implies $\gamma_j=y_j$ for all $j\geq i$. But then we must have $\gamma_j=y_j$ for all j, since γ_h for $h\leq i$

is determined recursively by $\gamma_{h-1} = \dot{p}_{l+h-1}(\gamma_h)$. Hence $\gamma = y$, and we conclude that $\bar{\gamma} = \bar{\imath}_k^{\infty}(\bar{x})$. Thus $\bar{G}$ is indeed topologically principal. This shows (c). □

Remark 3.7. Let us explain how [1, Lemma 5.2] may be derived as a special case of Theorem 3.6. Recall the setting in [1, Lemma 5.2]: For all $j = 1, 2, \ldots$, $(G(j), \Sigma(j))$ is a twisted étale topologically principal groupoid with compact unit space $X(j)$. Let

$$A_k := C_r^*(G(1), \Sigma(1)) \otimes \ldots \otimes C_r^*(G(k), \Sigma(k)).$$

Consider $\varphi_k : A_k \to A_{k+1}$, $a \mapsto a \otimes 1$. Let $B_k := C(X(1)) \otimes \ldots \otimes C(X(k)) \subseteq A_k$. We want to see that $\left(\varinjlim_k \{A_k; \varphi_k\}, \varinjlim_k \{B_k; \varphi_k\}\right)$ is a Cartan pair. In order to apply Theorem 3.6, set $G_k := G(1) \times \ldots \times G(k)$, $X_k := X(1) \times \ldots \times X(k)$ and $\Sigma_k := \Sigma(1) \times_{\mathbb{T}} \ldots \times_{\mathbb{T}} \Sigma(k)$, where $\Sigma(1) \times_{\mathbb{T}} \Sigma(2)$ denotes the quotient of $\Sigma(1) \times \Sigma(2)$ with respect to $(z\sigma, \sigma') \sim (\sigma, z\sigma')$ (as in [1, § 5]). It is easy to see that (G_k, Σ_k) is a twisted groupoid such that $(A_k, B_k) \cong (C_r^*(G_k, \Sigma_k), C(X_k))$. Moreover, set $H_k := G(1) \times \ldots \times G(k) \times X(k+1)$. Obviously, H_k is an open subgroupoid in G_{k+1}. Similarly, $T_k := \Sigma(1) \times \ldots \times \Sigma(k) \times X(k+1)$ is an open subgroupoid of Σ_{k+1}. Let $\imath_k : T_k \hookrightarrow \Sigma_{k+1}$ and $i_k : H_k \hookrightarrow G_{k+1}$ be the canonical inclusions. Define $p_k : T_k \to \Sigma_k$, $(\vec{\sigma}, x) \mapsto \vec{\sigma}$ for $\vec{\sigma} \in \Sigma_k$. It is easy to check that p_k is a surjective and proper twisted groupoid homomorphism, for all k. Clearly, each restriction $(H_k)_x \to (G_k)_{p_k(x)}$, $\eta \mapsto \dot{p}_k(\eta)$ is a bijection. Moreover, we have $\varphi_k = (i_k)_* \circ (p_k)^*$. As conditions (LT) and (E) are easily verified, Theorem 3.6 applies and yields that

$$\left(\varinjlim_k \{A_k; \varphi_k\}, \varinjlim_k \{B_k; \varphi_k\}\right) \cong \left(\bigotimes_{j=1}^{\infty} C_r^*(G(j), \Sigma(j)), \bigotimes_{j=1}^{\infty} C(X(j))\right) \cong C_r^*(\bar{G}, \bar{\Sigma})$$

is a Cartan pair.

4. Invariant Cartan subalgebras

Recall that an inverse semigroup is a semigroup $\mathcal{S}$ such that for every $s \in \mathcal{S}$, there exists a unique $s^* \in \mathcal{S}$ such that $s = ss^*s$ and $s^* = s^*ss^*$. We write

$$E := \left\{e \in \mathcal{S} \colon\ e = e^2\right\} = \left\{e \in \mathcal{S} \colon\ e = e^* = e^2\right\}$$

for the associated semilattice of idempotents. If A is a C*-algebra and $\mathcal{S} \subseteq A$ is an inverse semigroup of partial isometries in A, then we write $C^*(E)$ for the C*-subalgebra of A generated by E. We remark that in the literature $C^*(E)$ often denotes the universal C*-algebra of the semilattice E. However, there should be no confusion as the later object does not appear anywhere in this paper.

Lemma 4.1. *Let (A, B) be a Cartan pair with A a separable C*-algebra and such that* Spec (B) *is totally disconnected. Then $\mathcal{S} = \{s \in N_A(B) \colon\ s = ss^*s\}$ is an inverse semigroup generating A as a C*-algebra such that $B = C^*(E)$. Moreover, if $\alpha \in$ Aut (A) is an automorphism, then $\alpha(B) = B$ if and only if $\alpha(\mathcal{S}) = \mathcal{S}$.*

Proof. It is clear from the definition of the normalizer that $\mathcal{S}$ is an inverse semigroup. Let us prove that $\mathcal{S}$ generates A as a C*-algebra. Let (G, Σ) be a twisted étale Hausdorff locally compact second countable topologically principal groupoid with $(A, B) \cong (C_r^*(G, \Sigma), C_0(G^{(0)}))$, which exists by Theorem 2.2. We claim that it is enough to prove that any element in $N_{C_r^*(G,\Sigma)}(C_0(G^{(0)}))$ with compact open support can be approximated by linear combinations

of partial isometries in $N_{C_r^*(G,\Sigma)}(C_0(G^{(0)}))$. To see this, observe that it is enough to consider elements in $N_{C_r^*(G,\Sigma)}(C_0(G^{(0)}))$ with compact support, as these are dense in $N_{C_r^*(G,\Sigma)}(C_0(G^{(0)}))$ by [28, Lemma 5.8]. By [28, Proposition 4.8], $N_{C_r^*(G,\Sigma)}(C_0(G^{(0)}))$ consists exactly of the sections whose open support is a bisection. As G is étale and $G^{(0)}$ is totally disconnected, the compact open bisections of G form a basis for the topology. Given $f \in N_{C_r^*(G,\Sigma)}(C_0(G^{(0)}))$ with compact support, we can therefore find a finite covering of $\operatorname{supp}(f)$ by finitely many compact open bisections. Using a subordinate partition of unity, we can thus write f as a linear combination of elements in $N_{C_r^*(G,\Sigma)}(C_0(G^{(0)}))$ with compact open support.

Assume therefore that f has compact open support $K \subseteq G$ and norm one. By [28, Proposition 4.8], $s(K) = \operatorname{dom}(f) = \{x \in G^{(0)}\colon\ f^*f(x) > 0\}$, which is a compact open subset of $G^{(0)}$. Let $\epsilon > 0$ and find pairwise disjoint compact open subsets $U_1, \dots, U_n$ of $G^{(0)}$ whose union is $s(K)$, such that

$$\left| \frac{f^*f(x) - f^*f(y)}{f^*f(x)} \right| < \epsilon$$

for all $x, y \in U_j$ and $j = 1, \dots, n$. For each j, choose a fixed $x_j \in U_j$, and define $g_j \in C_0(G^{(0)})$ with $\operatorname{supp}(g_j) \subseteq U_j$ by setting

$$g_j(x) := \frac{f^*f(x_j)}{f^*f(x)}.$$

Then $|1 - g_j(x)| < \epsilon$ for all $x \in U_j$ and f^*fg_j is constant on U_j. So $fg_j^{1/2} \in N_{C_r^*(G,\Sigma)}(C_0(G^{(0)}))$ is a scalar multiple of a partial isometry. Now, $\sum_{j=1}^n fg_j^{1/2}$ is a linear combination of partial isometries in $N_{C_r^*(G,\Sigma)}(C_0(G^{(0)}))$ satisfying

$$\|f - \sum_{j=1}^n fg_j^{1/2}\| \leq \|\chi_{s(K)} - \sum_{j=1}^n g_j^{1/2}\|_\infty < \epsilon.$$

By definition of $\mathcal{S}$, it holds that E contains all projections of B. As B has totally disconnected spectrum, we conclude that $B = C^*(E)$.

Now let $\alpha \in \operatorname{Aut}(A)$. If $\alpha(\mathcal{S}) = \mathcal{S}$, then $\alpha(E) = E$ and consequently $\alpha(B) = B$. Conversely, if $\alpha(B) = B$, then always $\alpha(N_A(B)) \subseteq N_A(B)$ and consequently $\alpha(\mathcal{S}) \subseteq \mathcal{S}$. As $\alpha^{-1}(B) = B$ as well, it follows that $\alpha(\mathcal{S}) = \mathcal{S}$. This concludes the proof. □

The following result is a slight improvement of [2, Theorem 2.3 with proof]. We are grateful to Gábor Szabó for pointing out to us that only the separability assumption on the C*-algebra in the statement of [2, Theorem 2.3] is needed. Given two automorphisms α and β of a C*-algebra A, we write $\alpha \approx_{\mathrm{u}} \beta$ if α and β are approximately unitarily equivalent via unitaries in the minimal unitization of A.

Theorem 4.2. *Let Γ be a finite group. Let A be a separable C*-algebra such that $A \cong M_{|\Gamma|^\infty} \otimes A$. Let $\{\beta_\gamma\colon\ \gamma \in \Gamma\}$ be a family of $*$-automorphisms on A such that $\beta_{\gamma\eta} \approx_{\mathrm{u}} \beta_\gamma \circ \beta_\eta$ for all $\gamma, \eta \in \Gamma$. Consider the C*-dynamical system*

$$(D, \delta) := \lim_{k \to \infty} \left\{ (C(\Gamma) \otimes M^{\otimes k-1} \otimes A, \sigma \otimes \mathrm{id}_{M^{\otimes k-1} \otimes A}), \varphi_k \right\},$$

where M denotes the algebra of $|\Gamma| \times |\Gamma|$ matrices, $\sigma : \Gamma \curvearrowright C(\Gamma)$ is the action given by $\sigma_\gamma(f)(\eta) = f(\gamma^{-1}\eta)$ and

$$\varphi_k(f)(\gamma) := \sum_{\eta\in\Gamma} e_{\eta,\eta} \otimes (\mathrm{id} \otimes \beta_\eta)(f(\gamma\eta)),$$

under the canonical identifications $C(\Gamma) \otimes M^{\otimes r} \otimes A \cong C(\Gamma, M^{\otimes r} \otimes A)$. Then the action $\delta : \Gamma \curvearrowright D$ has the Rokhlin property and there exists a $*$-isomorphism $\mu : D \to A$ such that $\mu \circ \delta_\gamma \circ \mu^{-1} \approx_{\mathrm{u}} \beta_\gamma$ for all $\gamma \in \Gamma$.

Proof. If we assume that A is either unital, stable or has stable rank one, then this follows from [2, Theorem 2.3 and its proof]. The only reason for this restriction is that in these cases the two notions of approximate unitary equivalence, with respect to unitaries in the multiplier algebra and the minimal unitization, coincide. However, apart from unitaries in $C(\Gamma) \otimes M^{\otimes k} \otimes 1 \subseteq \mathcal{M}(C(\Gamma) \otimes M^{\otimes k} \otimes A)$, which are used in the proof of [2, Theorem 2.3] (in the fourth paragraph, for $\Psi_n \approx_u \mathrm{Ad}\left(\bigoplus_{\gamma\in\Gamma} \lambda(\gamma^{-1}) \otimes \mathbf{1}_{A^{(n)}}\right) \circ \Psi_n$), the only point where multiplier unitaries are used is in [2, Remark 1.7]. All these unitaries can be chosen to be norm-homotopic to the identity. However, the connected component of the identity in the unitaries of the minimal unitization of a C*-algebra C is always strictly dense in the connected component of the unitaries of its multiplier algebra $\mathcal{M}(C)$. This is a consequence of the fact that the set of self-adjoint element in C is stictly dense in the set of self-adjoint elements of $\mathcal{M}(C)$. This shows that all unitaries in the proof of [2, Theorem 2.3] implementing approximate unitary equivalences can be taken in the respective minimal unitizations. □

For a discrete group action $\alpha : \Gamma \curvearrowright A$ on a C*-algebra, we write $t_\gamma \in \mathcal{M}(A \rtimes_\alpha \Gamma)$ for the canonical unitary implementing α_γ.

We say that an element $a \in A$ is α-homogeneous if for every $\gamma \in \Gamma$, there is some scalar $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$ such that $\alpha_\gamma(a) = \lambda a$. Note that λ is of modulus one if $a \neq 0$, with $\lambda^n = 1$ if $\alpha_\gamma^n = \mathrm{id}$.

Theorem 4.3. *Let Γ be a finite group. Let A be a separable C*-algebra with $A \cong M_{|\Gamma|^\infty} \otimes A$. Let $\alpha : \Gamma \curvearrowright A$ be an action with the Rokhlin property. Assume that there exists a family of $*$-automorphisms $\{\beta_\gamma \colon \gamma \in \Gamma\} \subseteq \mathrm{Aut}\,(A)$ and a Cartan subalgebra $B \subseteq A$ such that $\beta_\gamma \approx_{\mathrm{u}} \alpha_\gamma$ and $\beta_\gamma(B) = B$ for all $\gamma \in \Gamma$.*

Then there exists another Cartan subalgebra $C \subseteq A$ such that

1) *$\alpha_\gamma(C) = C$ for all $\gamma \in \Gamma$;*
2) *$C \subseteq A \rtimes_\alpha \Gamma$ is also a Cartan subalgebra, which is fixed pointwise by $\hat{\alpha}$ if Γ abelian;*
3) *if A is unital and Γ abelian, then unitaries in $A = (A \rtimes_\alpha \Gamma)^{\hat{\alpha}}$ witnessing approximate representability of $\hat{\alpha}$ can be chosen in C;*
4) *if B has totally disconnected spectrum, then there exists an α-invariant inverse semigroup $\mathcal{S} \subseteq A$ of partial isometries generating A as a C*-algebra such that $C^*(E) = C$. In this case, $\tilde{S} := \{st_\gamma \colon \gamma \in \Gamma,\ s \in \mathcal{S}\}$ is an inverse semigroup of partial isometries in $A \rtimes_\alpha \Gamma$ which generates $A \rtimes_\alpha \Gamma$ as a C*-algebra and whose idempotent semilattice is equal to E. If Γ is abelian, then $\tilde{\mathcal{S}}$ consists of $\hat{\alpha}$-homogeneous elements;*
5) *if A is unital and the canonical map $\mathcal{P}(B) \setminus \{0,1\} \to K_0(A)$ is surjective, then so is the canonical map $\mathcal{P}(C) \setminus \{0,1\} \to K_0(A)$.*

If A is either a unital UCT Kirchberg algebra or a unital, simple, separable, nuclear TAF algebra in the UCT class, then the conclusions remain true if we replace $\beta_\gamma \approx_{\mathrm{u}} \alpha_\gamma$ by $K_*(\beta_\gamma) = K_*(\alpha_\gamma)$ for all $\gamma \in \Gamma$.

Proof. By Theorem 4.2, the action $\delta : \Gamma \curvearrowright D$ given by

$$(D, \delta) := \lim_{k\to\infty} \{(A_k, \sigma \otimes \mathrm{id}_{M^{\otimes k-1}\otimes A}), \varphi_k\},$$

where $A_k = C(\Gamma) \otimes M^{\otimes k-1} \otimes A$ and $\varphi_k : A_k \to A_{k+1}$ satisfies

$$\varphi_k(f)(\gamma) = \sum_{\eta\in\Gamma} e_{\eta,\eta} \otimes (\mathrm{id} \otimes \beta_\eta)(f(\gamma\eta)),$$

has the Rokhlin property and there exists an isomorphism $\mu : D \to A$ such that $\mu \circ \delta_\gamma \circ \mu^{-1} \approx_{\mathrm{u}} \beta_\gamma$ for all $\gamma \in \Gamma$. By assumption, this implies that $\mu \circ \delta_\gamma \circ \mu^{-1} \approx_{\mathrm{u}} \alpha_\gamma$ for all $\gamma \in \Gamma$. Hence, (A, α) and (D, δ) are conjugate by [23, Theorem 3.5 and Remark 3.6] (see also [11, Theorem 3.5]). We show that D has a Cartan subalgebra satisfying 1) - 5) for δ.

By Theorem 2.2, there exists a twisted étale topologically principal groupoid (G, Σ) such that $(A, B) \cong (C_r^*(G, \Sigma), C_0(G^{(0)}))$. Denote by $X := G^{(0)}$ the unit space and by $\pi : \Sigma \twoheadrightarrow G$ the canonical projection. As $\beta_\gamma(B) = B$ for given $\gamma \in \Gamma$, there exists a twisted groupoid automorphism $q_\gamma : \Sigma \xrightarrow{\cong} \Sigma$ such that $\beta_\gamma = (q_\gamma)^*$ under the above identification, see [1, proof of Proposition 3.4]. Let $R = \Gamma \times \Gamma$, viewed as a relation, i.e., a groupoid, so that $C_r^*(R) = M$. The unit space of R is given by the diagonal Δ, which is canonically identified with Γ. Consider the groupoid $G_k := \Gamma \times R^{k-1} \times G$. The first copy of Γ is viewed as a disjoint union of points (i.e., one-point groupoids). G_k becomes an étale topologically principal groupoid under componentwise operations, and its unit space is $X_k = \Gamma \times \Delta^{k-1} \times X$. Set $\Sigma_k := \Gamma \times R^{k-1} \times \Sigma$. With respect to the projection $\pi_k := \mathrm{id}_{\Gamma\times R^{k-1}} \times \pi$, (G_k, Σ_k) is a twisted étale topologically principal groupoid. We have a canonical identification $A_k \cong C_r^*(G_k, \Sigma_k)$ which identifies $B_k := C(\Gamma) \otimes D^{\otimes(k-1)} \otimes B$ with $C_0(X_k)$. Here D is the canonical diagonal in M. Furthermore, set $H_k := \Gamma \times \Delta \times R^{k-1} \times G$. Obviously, H_k is an open subgroupoid in G_{k+1}, with unit space $Y_k := \Gamma \times \Delta \times \Delta^{k-1} \times X$. Let $i_k : H_k \hookrightarrow G_{k+1}$ be the canonical inclusion. Set $T_k := \Gamma \times \Delta \times R^{k-1} \times \Sigma$. (H_k, T_k) is a twisted étale topologically principal groupoid. T_k is an open subgroupoid of Σ_{k+1}. Let $\imath_k : T_k \hookrightarrow \Sigma_{k+1}$ be the canonical inclusion. Define $p_k : T_k \to \Sigma_k$, $(\gamma, d, \mathbf{r}, \sigma) \mapsto (\gamma d, \mathbf{r}, q_d(\sigma))$. Clearly, p_k is a twisted groupoid homomorphism, which is surjective, proper, and $\dot{p}_k$ restricts to bijections $(H_k)_v \cong (G_k)_{p_k(v)}$. Moreover, it is easy to check that $\varphi_k = (i_k)_* \circ (p_k)^*$. Furthermore, it is easy to see that conditions (LT) and (E) are satisfied. Thus Theorem 3.6 applies and yields that

$$\left(\varinjlim_k \{A_k; \varphi_k\}, \varinjlim_k \{B_k; \varphi_k\}\right) \cong \left(C_r^*(\bar{G}, \bar{\Sigma}), C_0(\bar{G}^{(0)})\right)$$

is a Cartan pair. As $\sigma \otimes \mathrm{id}_{M^{\otimes k-1}\otimes A}(B_k) = B_k$ for all $k \geq 1$, the Cartan subalgebra $F := \varinjlim_k \{B_k; \varphi_k\}$ of D gets fixed globally by δ. This shows 1).

Set $\mathcal{G} := \Gamma \rtimes \Gamma$, where we let Γ act on itself by left multiplication. Set $G_k := \mathcal{G} \times R^{k-1} \times G$, $\Sigma_k := \mathcal{G} \times R^{k-1} \times \Sigma$, $\pi_k := \mathrm{id}_{\mathcal{G}\times R^{k-1}} \times \pi$, $H_k := \mathcal{G} \times \Delta \times R^{k-1} \times G$, $T_k := \mathcal{G} \times \Delta \times R^{k-1} \times \Sigma$, and $p_k : T_k \to \Sigma_k$, $(\mathbf{g}, d, \mathbf{r}, \sigma) \mapsto (\mathbf{g} \cdot d, \mathbf{r}, \sigma)$, where for $\mathbf{g} = (\alpha, \gamma) \in \mathcal{G}$, $\mathbf{g} \cdot d = (\alpha d, \gamma)$. Applying

Theorem 3.6 to this setting gives us that

$$(D \rtimes_\delta \Gamma, F) = \left(\varinjlim_k \{A_k \rtimes \Gamma; \varphi_k \rtimes \Gamma\}, \varinjlim_k \{B_k; \varphi_k \rtimes \Gamma\} \right)$$

is a Cartan pair. We conclude 2).

Let A be unital and Γ abelian. The above construction of the Cartan pair (D,F) shows that the Rokhlin projections for δ can all be chosen to be in the subalgebras $C(\Gamma) \subseteq B_k$, $k \geq 1$. In particular, all Rokhlin projections for δ can be chosen in F. It now follows from Remark 2.9 that the unitaries in $D = (D \rtimes_\gamma \Gamma)^{\hat{\delta}}$ witnessing approximate representability of $\hat{\delta}$ can also be chosen to be in F. This shows 3).

Assume now that $\mathrm{Spec}\,(B)$ is totally disconnected. Then B is generated by its projections. The construction of the Cartan pair (D, F) shows that in this case F is generated by its projections as well and therefore has totally disconnected spectrum. Applying Lemma 4.1, we obtain an α-invariant inverse semigroup $\mathcal{S} \subseteq D$ of partial isometries generating D as a C*-algebra such that $F = C^*(E)$. The property that δ preserves F is equivalent to the canonical unitaries t_γ, $\gamma \in \Gamma$, normalizing F. Therefore, $\tilde{\mathcal{S}} = \{st_\gamma \colon \gamma \in \Gamma,\ s \in \mathcal{S}\}$ is an inverse subsemigroup of partial isometries in $N_{D \rtimes_\delta \Gamma}(F)$ with idempotent semilattice equal to E such that $(C^*(\tilde{\mathcal{S}}), C^*(E)) = (D \rtimes_\delta \Gamma, F)$. If Γ is abelian, then $\tilde{\mathcal{S}}$ clearly consists of $\hat{\delta}$-homogeneous elements. This shows 4).

Assume that A is unital and $\mathcal{P}(B) \setminus \{0,1\} \to K_0(A)$ is surjective. Let $x \in K_0(D)$ and find some $k \in \mathbb{N}$ and $\tilde{x} \in K_0(C(\Gamma) \otimes M^{\otimes k} \otimes A)$ which gets mapped to x under the canonical map. By assumption, we find projections $p \in C(\Gamma)$ and $q \in B$ such that $[p \otimes (\delta_{i=1,j=1})_{i,j} \otimes q] = \tilde{x}$. Here we use that $C(\Gamma) \cong \mathbb{C}^{|\Gamma|}$. We may also assume that $p \neq 0$ and $q \neq 0, 1$. This shows that x is in the image of the canonical map $\mathcal{P}(C(\Gamma) \otimes D^{\otimes k} \otimes B) \setminus \{0,1\} \to K_0(D)$. Using that the connecting maps φ_n are unital and injective, it follows that $\mathcal{P}(F) \setminus \{0,1\} \to K_0(D)$ is surjective. This shows 5).

Finally, assume that A is either a unital UCT Kirchberg algebra or a unital, simple, separable, nuclear TAF algebra in the UCT class. Assume that the family $\{\beta_\gamma \colon \gamma \in \Gamma\} \subseteq \mathrm{Aut}\,(A)$ satisfies $K_*(\beta_\gamma) = K_*(\alpha_\gamma)$ and $\beta_\gamma(B) = B$ for all $\gamma \in \Gamma$. By Theorem 4.2, we find an action with the Rokhlin property $\rho : \Gamma \curvearrowright A$ such that $\beta_\rho \approx_{\mathrm{u}} \rho_\gamma$ for all $\gamma \in \Gamma$. In particular, $K_*(\alpha_\gamma) = K_*(\rho_\gamma)$ for all $\gamma \in \Gamma$. By the first part of this theorem, we obtain a Cartan subalgebra $F \subseteq A$ satisfying 1) - 5) (if applicable) for ρ. By [12, Theorem 4.2 and Theorem 4.3], there exists an equivariant isomorphism $(A, \alpha) \cong (A, \rho)$. The image C of F under this equivariant isomorphism yields the desired Cartan subalgebra. □

Let us now explain how the following result is a consequence of [15, 33, 34]. Recall that a subgroup of a group is called a Sylow subgroup if is a maximal p-subgroup for some prime number $p \geq 2$.

Lemma 4.4. *Let K_0, K_1 be countable abelian groups and $u \in K_0$ an arbitrary element. Let Γ be a finite group such that every Sylow subgroup of Γ is cyclic. Let $\Gamma \curvearrowright K_i$, $i = 0, 1$, be actions such that $\Gamma \curvearrowright K_0$ fixes u.*

Then there exists an étale topologically principal groupoid G with compact totally disconnected unit space X and an action $\Gamma \curvearrowright G$ such that

(1) *$C_r^*(G)$ is a unital UCT Kirchberg algebra.*

(2) *We have a* Γ*-equivariant isomorphism* $(K_0(C_r^*(G)), [1], K_1(C_r^*(G))) \cong (K_0, u, K_1)$.
(3) *The canonical map* $\mathcal{P}(C(X)) \setminus \{0,1\} \to K_0(C_r^*(G))$ *is surjective.*

Proof. Our proof is a combination of [15, 33, 34]. We first use [15] to obtain exact sequences of permutation modules. We then apply the construction in [34], which builds on [33]. This approach has also been mentioned in [16, Remark 3.4]. In contrast to the construction in [16], we obtain groupoids with totally disconnected unit space in this way.

For $i = 0, 1$, consider the exact sequence of $\mathbb{Z}\Gamma$-modules

$$0 \to N_i \xrightarrow{\iota_i} \mathbb{Z}[K_i] \xrightarrow{\pi_i} K_i \to 0,$$

where π_i is the canonical projection and $N_i = \ker(\pi_i)$. All the groups in the sequence are countable. Since every Sylow subgroup of Γ is cyclic, [15, Theorem 1.4 and Corollary 3.7] imply that N_i is permutation projective for $i = 0, 1$. Hence, by [15, Lemma 3.12] and (the proof of) [15, Lemma 3.2], we can find countable Γ-sets X_i such that $N_i \oplus \mathbb{Z}[X_i] \cong \mathbb{Z}[X_i] \cong \mathbb{Z}[K_i] \oplus \mathbb{Z}[X_i]$ as $\mathbb{Z}\Gamma$-modules. We obtain the exact sequence of $\mathbb{Z}\Gamma$-modules

$$0 \to \mathbb{Z}[X_i] \xrightarrow{\tilde{\iota}_i} \mathbb{Z}[K_i \sqcup X_i] \xrightarrow{\tilde{\pi}_i} K_i \to 0,$$

where $\tilde{\iota}_i$ is the composition $\mathbb{Z}[X_i] \cong N_i \oplus \mathbb{Z}[X_i] \xrightarrow{\iota_i \oplus \mathrm{id}} \mathbb{Z}[K_i] \oplus \mathbb{Z}[X_i] \cong \mathbb{Z}[K_i \sqcup X_i]$ and $\tilde{\pi}_i$ is the composition $\mathbb{Z}[K_i \sqcup X_i] \cong \mathbb{Z}[K_i] \oplus \mathbb{Z}[X_i] \xrightarrow{\pi_i + 0} K_i$. Setting $A_i := K_i \sqcup X_i$, $B_i := X_i$, and $a_0 := u \in K_0 \sqcup X_0 = A_0$, we obtain, for $i = 0, 1$, a short exact sequence of $\mathbb{Z}\Gamma$-modules

$$0 \to \mathbb{Z}[B_i] \to \mathbb{Z}[A_i] \xrightarrow{\tilde{\pi}_i} K_i \to 0,$$

and $a_0 \in A_0$ is fixed by Γ with $\tilde{\pi}_0(a_0) = u$.

This is precisely the initial data needed to run the construction in [34, Theorems 2.1 and 2.2]. Let us sketch the construction and refer the reader to [33, 34] for details. Using [34, Theorem 2.1] and (the proof of) [34, Theorem 2.2], we obtain irreducible (i.e., strongly connected) countable graphs E_i, F_i, $i = 0, 1$, each of which has a unique vertex emitting infinitely many edges, together with actions $\Gamma \curvearrowright E_i$, $i = 0, 1$, and Γ-equivariant inclusions $A_i \hookrightarrow E_i^0$, a vertex $w \in F_0^0$, such that we have isomorphisms

- $(K_0(\mathcal{O}(E_i)), K_1(\mathcal{O}(E_i)) \cong (K_i, \{0\})$, for $i = 0, 1$;
- $(K_0(\mathcal{O}(F_0)), [P_w], K_1(\mathcal{O}(F_0))) \cong (\mathbb{Z}, 1, \{0\})$;
- $(K_0(\mathcal{O}(F_1)), K_1(\mathcal{O}(F_1))) \cong (\{0\}, \mathbb{Z})$;

and the first two isomorphisms fit into commutative diagrams, for $i = 0, 1$:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} A_i & \hookrightarrow & E_i^0 & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{O}(E_i)) \\ \downarrow{\scriptstyle \tilde{\pi}_i} & & & & \downarrow \\ K_i & & \xrightarrow{\quad\cong\quad} & & K_0(\mathcal{O}(E_i)) \end{array}$$

Note that commutativity of the diagram for $i = 0$ implies that the isomorphism $K_0(\mathcal{O}(E_0)) \cong K_0$ sends $[P_{a_0}]$ to $u \in K_0$. Now, the construction in [33], applied to E_0, E_1, F_0 and F_1, yields a "hybrid graph structure" Ω. The Γ-actions on E_0 and E_1 induce a Γ-action on Ω. In Ω, we have a notion of finite paths, denoted by Ω^*, and a length function $l : \Omega^* \to \mathbb{N}^2$ (where $\mathbb{N} = \{0, 1, 2, \ldots\}$). We also have infinite paths in Ω, and we can equip the set Z of infinite paths with a natural topology such that Z becomes a totally disconnected, second countable,

locally compact Hausdorff space. Moreover, we can concatenate finite paths with infinite paths, written μz for a finite path μ and an infinite path z. We can then define a groupoid $\tilde{G}$ consisting of all triples $(z, n, z') \in Z \times \mathbb{Z}^2 \times Z$ for which there exist finite paths μ, ν and an infinite path ζ such that $z = \mu\zeta$, $z' = \nu\zeta$ and $l(\mu) - l(\nu) = n$. Inversion and multiplication are given by $(z, n, z')^{-1} = (z', -n, z)$ and $(z, m, z')(z', n, z'') = (z, m + n, z'')$. In particular, $\tilde{G}^{(0)}$ is given by all triples $(z, 0, z)$, $z \in Z$, and is canonically homeomorphic to Z. By construction, $\tilde{G}$ is étale, and it is topologically principal by [33, Lemma 2.18]. The Γ-action on Ω induces a Γ-action on $\tilde{G}$. Spielberg shows in [33] that $C_r^*(\tilde{G})$ is a stable UCT Kirchberg algebra and that there is a canonical Γ-equivariant inclusion

$$i : (\mathcal{O}(E_0) \otimes \mathcal{O}(F_0)) \oplus (\mathcal{O}(E_1) \otimes \mathcal{O}(F_1)) \hookrightarrow C_r^*(\tilde{G}),$$

where $\mathcal{O}(F_0)$ and $\mathcal{O}(F_1)$ are equiped with the trivial Γ-actions. We do not need the precise form of i, but only the following properties:

- (i_1) i induces a Γ-equivariant isomorphism in K-theory;
- (i_2) for every finite path $\mu \in E_0^*$ in E_0, $i(S_\mu S_\mu^* \otimes P_w)$ is of the form 1_Y for some compact open subspace $Y \subseteq Z$.

In (i_2), S_μ is the partial isometry corresponding to μ in the graph C*-algebra $\mathcal{O}(E_0)$, and 1_Y is the characteristic function of Y, viewed as an element in $C_0(Z) \cong C_0(\tilde{G}^{(0)}) \subseteq C_r^*(\tilde{G})$.

In particular, setting $1_X = i(P_{a_0} \otimes P_w)$, i induces an isomorphism

$$(K_0(C_r^*(\tilde{G})), [1_X], K_1(C_r^*(\tilde{G}))) \cong (K_0, u, K_1).$$

As Γ fixes a_0 and w, X is fixed by Γ. Hence Γ acts on $\tilde{G}_X^X$, thus on $C_r^*(\tilde{G}_X^X)$, and the canonical Γ-equivariant inclusion $C_r^*(\tilde{G}_X^X) \cong 1_X C_r^*(\tilde{G}) 1_X \hookrightarrow C_r^*(\tilde{G})$ induces a Γ-equivariant isomorphism

$$(K_0(C_r^*(\tilde{G}_X^X)), [1], K_1(C_r^*(\tilde{G}_X^X))) \cong (K_0, u, K_1).$$

Now set $G := \tilde{G}_X^X$. The unit space of G is obviously given by X and hence a totally disconnected compact space. G is étale and topologically principal as $\tilde{G}$ has these properties.

Finally, let

$$j : \mathcal{O}(E_0) \otimes \mathcal{O}(F_0) \hookrightarrow (\mathcal{O}(E_0) \otimes \mathcal{O}(F_0)) \oplus (\mathcal{O}(E_1) \otimes \mathcal{O}(F_1)) \xhookrightarrow{i} C_r^*(\tilde{G})$$

be the restriction of i to $\mathcal{O}(E_0) \otimes \mathcal{O}(F_0)$. Then j_* is an isomorphism in K_0. It follows from the K-theory computation for graph C*-algebras and the Künneth Formula that $\{j_*[P_v \otimes P_w] \colon v \in E_0^0\}$ generates the K_0-group of $C_r^*(\tilde{G})$. As E_0 is strongly connected and has a vertex emitting infinitely many edges, the image of $\mathcal{P}(C(X)) \setminus \{0\} \to K_0(C_r^*(G))$ contains the group generated by $\{j_*[P_v \otimes P_w] \colon v \in E_0^0\}$ in $K_0(C_r^*(\tilde{G})) \cong K_0(C_r^*(G))$. This shows that $\mathcal{P}(C(X)) \setminus \{0\} \to K_0(C_r^*(G))$ is surjective. To see that $\mathcal{P}(C(X)) \setminus \{0, 1\} \to K_0(C_r^*(G))$ is surjective, it suffices to find a compact open subset $\emptyset \neq Y \subsetneq X$ such that $[1_Y] = [1_X]$. This however is easy using property (i_2), strong connectedness of E_0 and the existence of a vertex emitting infinitely many edges in E_0. □

Remark 4.5. Clearly, with exactly the same method, we obtain the following:

Let K_0, K_1 be countable abelian groups. Let Γ be a finite group such that every Sylow subgroup of Γ is cyclic. Let $\Gamma \curvearrowright K_i$ be actions for $i = 0, 1$.

Then there exists an étale topologically principal groupoid G with totally disconnected unit space X and an action $\Gamma \curvearrowright G$ such that

(1_{st}) $C_r^*(G)$ is a stable UCT Kirchberg algebra.
(2_{st}) We have a Γ-equivariant isomorphism $(K_0(C_r^*(G)), K_1(C_r^*(G))) \cong (K_0, K_1)$.
(3_{st}) The canonical map $\mathcal{P}(C_0(X)) \setminus \{0\} \to K_0(C_r^*(G))$ is surjective.

Remark 4.6. A finite group Γ has the property that every Sylow subgroup is cyclic if and only if Γ admits a presentation

$$\Gamma = \langle a, b \mid a^m = 1 = b^n,\ b^{-1}ab = a^r \rangle,$$

where m is odd, $0 \leq r < m$, $r^n \equiv 1 \mod m$ and m and $n(r-1)$ are coprime, see for example [29, 10.1.10]. In particular, every such group is an extension of one cyclic group by another.

Lemma 4.1, Lemma 4.4, Remark 4.5 and the Kirchberg-Phillips classification theorem [18, 24] immediately imply

Lemma 4.7. *Let Γ be a finite group such that every Sylow subgroup is cyclic. Let A be a UCT Kirchberg algebra and $\sigma : \Gamma \curvearrowright K_*(A)$ an action preserving $[1] \in K_0(A)$ if A is unital. There exists an action $\alpha : \Gamma \curvearrowright A$ and an α-invariant inverse semigroup $\mathcal{S} \subseteq A$ of partial isometries that generates A as a C^*-algebra such that*

(1) *$K_*(\alpha_\gamma) = \sigma_\gamma$ for all $\gamma \in \Gamma$;*
(2) *$(A, C^*(E))$ is a Cartan pair such that $C^*(E)$ has totally disconnected spectrum and $\alpha_\gamma(C^*(E)) = C^*(E)$ for all $\gamma \in \Gamma$;*
(3) *$\mathcal{P}(C^*(E)) \setminus \{0, 1\} \to K_0(A)$ is surjective if A is unital;*
(4) *$\mathcal{P}(C^*(E)) \setminus \{0\} \to K_0(A)$ is surjective if A is stable.*

We obtain the following result for actions with the Rokhlin property on unital UCT Kirchberg algebras, which follows immediately by Lemma 4.7 and Theorem 4.3.

Theorem 4.8. *Let Γ be a finite group such that every Sylow subgroup is cyclic. Let A be a unital UCT Kirchberg algebra with $A \cong A \otimes M_{|\Gamma|^\infty}$. Let $\alpha : \Gamma \curvearrowright A$ be an action with the Rokhlin property.*

Then there exists an α-invariant inverse semigroup $\mathcal{S} \subseteq A$ of partial isometries generating A as a C^*-algebra such that $C^*(E)$ is a Cartan subalgebra with totally disconnected spectrum and $\alpha_\gamma(C^*(E)) = C^*(E)$ for all $\gamma \in \Gamma$, and $\mathcal{P}(C^*(E)) \setminus \{0, 1\} \to K_0(A)$ is surjective.

Theorem 4.9. *Let $n \geq 2$. Let A be a unital UCT Kirchberg algebra and $\alpha : \mathbb{Z}_n \curvearrowright A$ an outer approximately representable action. Assume that $A \rtimes_\alpha \mathbb{Z}_n$ absorbs the UHF algebra M_{n^∞} tensorially. Then the following are equivalent:*

(i) *$A \rtimes_\alpha \mathbb{Z}_n$ satisfies the UCT;*
(ii) *there exists an inverse semigroup $\mathcal{S} \subseteq A$ of partial isometries generating A as a C^*-algebra such that*
  (1) *$\mathcal{S}$ is α-homogeneous;*
  (2) *$C^*(E)$ is a Cartan subalgebra with spectrum homeomorphic to the Cantor space in both A^α and A;*
  (3) *unitaries in A^α witnessing approximate representability can be chosen in $C^*(E)$;*
(iii) *there exists a Cartan subalgebra $C \subseteq A$ such that $\alpha(C) = C$.*

Proof. We prove that (i) implies (ii). As α is outer, $B := A \rtimes_\alpha \mathbb{Z}_n$ is simple by [20, Theorem 3.1] and purely infinite by [13, Theorem 3]. Denote by $\gamma : \mathbb{Z}_n \curvearrowright B$ the dual action of α, which has the

Rokhlin property by Theorem 2.8. Lemma 4.7 together with Theorem 4.3 now yield an inverse semigroup $\tilde{\mathcal{S}} \subseteq B \rtimes_\gamma \mathbb{Z}_n$ of $\hat{\gamma}$-homogeneous partial isometries generating $B \rtimes_\gamma \mathbb{Z}_n$ as a C*-algebra such that the C*-subalgebra $C^*(E)$ generated by the corresponding idempotent semilattice is a Cartan subalgebra in both B and $B \rtimes_\gamma \mathbb{Z}_n$; in addition, unitaries in $(B \rtimes_\gamma \mathbb{Z}_n)^{\hat{\gamma}} = B$ witnessing approximate representability of $\hat{\gamma}$ can be chosen in $C^*(E)$ and the map $\mathcal{P}(C^*(E)) \setminus \{0,1\} \to K_0(B) = K_0((B \rtimes_\gamma \mathbb{Z}_n)^{\hat{\gamma}})$ is surjective. Furthermore, being a masa with totally disconnected spectrum in a unital Kirchberg algebra, $C^*(E)$ has spectrum homeomorphic to the Cantor space. Thus, $\tilde{\mathcal{S}} \subseteq B \rtimes_\gamma \mathbb{Z}_n = A \rtimes_\alpha \mathbb{Z}_n \rtimes_{\hat{\alpha}} \mathbb{Z}_n$ satisfies the properties (1) - (3) for $\hat{\gamma} = \hat{\hat{\alpha}}$.

Now let $e \in \mathcal{B}(\ell^2(\mathbb{Z}_n))$ denote the rank one projection onto the subspace of constant functions and $\rho : \mathbb{Z}_n \curvearrowright \mathcal{B}(\ell^2(\mathbb{Z}_n))$ the action induced by the right regular representation. There are equivariant isomorphisms

$$(A, \alpha) \cong ((1 \otimes e)A \otimes \mathcal{B}(\ell^2(\mathbb{Z}_n))(1 \otimes e), \alpha \otimes \rho) \cong (f(A \rtimes_\alpha \mathbb{Z}_n \rtimes_{\hat{\alpha}} \mathbb{Z}_n)f, \hat{\hat{\alpha}})$$

for some projection $f \in (A \rtimes_\alpha \mathbb{Z}_q \rtimes_{\hat{\alpha}} \mathbb{Z}_q)^{\hat{\hat{\alpha}}} \setminus \{0,1\}$, where the second isomorphism is induced by Takai duality, [35, Theorem 3.4]. Using that $\mathcal{P}(C^*(E)) \setminus \{0,1\} \to K_0(B) = K_0((A \rtimes_\alpha \mathbb{Z}_q \rtimes_{\hat{\alpha}} \mathbb{Z}_q)^{\hat{\hat{\alpha}}})$ is surjective, we find a projection $p \in C^*(E) \setminus \{0,1\}$ such that $[p] = [f] \in K_0((A \rtimes_\alpha \mathbb{Z}_q \rtimes_{\hat{\alpha}} \mathbb{Z}_q)^{\hat{\hat{\alpha}}})$. Since $(A \rtimes_\alpha \mathbb{Z}_q \rtimes_{\hat{\alpha}} \mathbb{Z}_q)^{\hat{\hat{\alpha}}}$ is purely infinite simple, we find a unitary $u \in (A \rtimes_\alpha \mathbb{Z}_q \rtimes_{\hat{\alpha}} \mathbb{Z}_q)^{\hat{\hat{\alpha}}}$ such that $ufu^* = p$, see [5, §1]. Hence

$$\mathrm{Ad}\,(u) : (f(A \rtimes_\alpha \mathbb{Z}_n \rtimes_{\hat{\alpha}} \mathbb{Z}_n)f, \hat{\hat{\alpha}}) \xrightarrow{\cong} (p(A \rtimes_\alpha \mathbb{Z}_n \rtimes_{\hat{\alpha}} \mathbb{Z}_n)p, \hat{\hat{\alpha}})$$

is an equivariant isomorphism, showing that $(A, \alpha) \cong (p(A \rtimes_\alpha \mathbb{Z}_n \rtimes_{\hat{\alpha}} \mathbb{Z}_n)p, \hat{\hat{\alpha}})$. The inverse semigroup

$$p\tilde{\mathcal{S}}p \subseteq p(B \rtimes_\gamma \mathbb{Z}_n)p = p(A \rtimes_\alpha \mathbb{Z}_n \rtimes_{\hat{\alpha}} \mathbb{Z}_n)p$$

clearly consists of $\hat{\hat{\alpha}}$-homogeneous partial isometries and generates $p(A \rtimes_\alpha \mathbb{Z}_n \rtimes_{\hat{\alpha}} \mathbb{Z}_n)p$ as a C*-algebra. Moreover, $pC^*(E)p$ is a Cartan subalgebra in both $p(A \rtimes_\alpha \mathbb{Z}_n \rtimes_{\hat{\alpha}} \mathbb{Z}_n)p$ and

$$p(A \rtimes_\alpha \mathbb{Z}_n \rtimes_{\hat{\alpha}} \mathbb{Z}_n)^{\hat{\hat{\alpha}}}p = (p(A \rtimes_\alpha \mathbb{Z}_n \rtimes_{\hat{\alpha}} \mathbb{Z}_n)p)^{\hat{\hat{\alpha}}},$$

and coincides with the C*-algebra generated by the idempotent lattice of $p\tilde{\mathcal{S}}p$. Furthermore, by multiplying unitaries in $C^*(E)$ witnessing approximate representability of $\hat{\hat{\alpha}}$ with p, one obtains unitaries in $pC^*(E)p$ witnessing approximate representability of the restricted action of $\hat{\hat{\alpha}}$ on $p(A \rtimes_\alpha \mathbb{Z}_n \rtimes_{\hat{\alpha}} \mathbb{Z}_n)p$. The desired inverse semigroup $\mathcal{S} \subseteq A$ is now given as the image of $p\tilde{S}p$ under the equivariant isomorphism $(A, \alpha) \cong (p(A \rtimes_\alpha \mathbb{Z}_n \rtimes_{\hat{\alpha}} \mathbb{Z}_n)p, \hat{\hat{\alpha}})$.

The implication (ii) implies (iii) is trivial and the last implication, (iii) implies (i), follows from [1, Proposition 3.4]. □

Definition 4.10 ([11, Definition 3.6])**.** *Let Γ be a finite abelian group and A a unital, separable C*-algebra. An action $\alpha : \Gamma \curvearrowright A$ is called strongly approximately inner if there exist unitaries $u_n \in A^\alpha$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $\alpha = \lim_{n\to\infty} \mathrm{Ad}\,(u_n)$.*

Remark 4.11.
1) Let $n \geq 2$. Let A be a unital UCT Kirchberg algebra and $\alpha : \mathbb{Z}_n \curvearrowright A$ an outer strongly approximately inner action. Then $\alpha \otimes \mathrm{id} : \mathbb{Z}_n \curvearrowright A \otimes M_{n^\infty}$ is outer and approximately representable by [11, Lemma 3.10]. Since $(A \otimes M_{n^\infty}) \rtimes_{\alpha\otimes\mathrm{id}} \mathbb{Z}_n \cong (A \rtimes_\alpha \mathbb{Z}_n) \otimes M_{n^\infty}$, the conditions of Theorem 4.9 are satisfied for $\alpha \otimes \mathrm{id} : \mathbb{Z}_n \curvearrowright A \otimes M_{n^\infty}$.
2) To the best of the authors' knowledge, it is not known whether all $\mathbb{Z}_2$-actions on $\mathcal{O}_2$ are strongly approximately inner.

We also record the following consequence for outer strongly approximately inner actions on $\mathcal{O}_2$ by cyclic groups with prime power order.

Corollary 4.12. *Let $p \geq 2$ be a prime number, $n \geq 1$ a natural number and $q = p^n$. Let $\alpha : \mathbb{Z}_q \curvearrowright \mathcal{O}_2$ be an outer strongly approximately inner action. Then the following are equivalent*

(i) *$\mathcal{O}_2 \rtimes_\alpha \mathbb{Z}_q$ satisfies the UCT;*
(ii) *there exists an inverse semigroup $\mathcal{S} \subseteq \mathcal{O}_2$ of partial isometries generating $\mathcal{O}_2$ as a C*-algebra such that*
  (1) *$\mathcal{S}$ is α-homogeneous;*
  (2) *$C^*(E)$ is a Cartan subalgebra with spectrum homeomorphic to the Cantor space in both $\mathcal{O}_2^\alpha$ and $\mathcal{O}_2$;*
  (3) *unitaries in $\mathcal{O}_2^\alpha$ witnessing approximate representability can be chosen in $C^*(E)$.*
(iii) *there exists some Cartan subalgebra $C \subseteq \mathcal{O}_2$ such that $\alpha(C) = C$.*

Proof. It follows from the Pimsner-Voiculescu sequence for $\mathbb{Z}_q$-actions that $K_*(\mathcal{O}_2 \rtimes_\alpha \mathbb{Z}_q)$ is uniquely p-divisible and in Cuntz standard form, see [11, Lemma 4.4 and the proof]. Therefore $\mathcal{O}_2 \rtimes_\alpha \mathbb{Z}_q$ absorbs $M_{p^\infty} \cong M_{q^\infty}$ tensorially by the Kirchberg-Phillips classification theorem [18, 24]. Furthermore, α is approximately representable by [11, Theorem 4.6]. Hence, Theorem 4.9 applies and the proof is complete. □

The following result gives a characterization of the UCT problem for separable, nuclear C*-algebras that are KK-equivalent to their M_{p^∞}-stabilisation, where $p \geq 2$ is a prime number, in terms of outer order p automorphisms and Cartan subalgebras of $\mathcal{O}_2$. A similar result for the case $p = 2$ can be found in [1, Theorem 5.8].

Theorem 4.13. *Let $p \geq 2$ a prime number. The following statements are equivalent:*

(i) *Every separable, nuclear C*-algebra A that is KK-equivalent to $A \otimes M_{p^\infty}$ satisfies the UCT;*
(ii) *for every outer strongly approximately inner action $\alpha : \mathbb{Z}_p \curvearrowright \mathcal{O}_2$ there exists an inverse semigroup $\mathcal{S} \subseteq \mathcal{O}_2$ of partial isometries generating $\mathcal{O}_2$ as a C*-algebra such that*
  (1) *$\mathcal{S}$ is α-homogeneous;*
  (2) *$C^*(E)$ is a Cartan subalgebra with spectrum homeomorphic to the Cantor space in both $\mathcal{O}_2^\alpha$ and $\mathcal{O}_2$;*
  (3) *unitaries in $\mathcal{O}_2^\alpha$ witnessing approximate representability can be chosen in $C^*(E)$;*
(iii) *every outer strongly approximately inner $\mathbb{Z}_p$-action on $\mathcal{O}_2$ fixes some Cartan subalgebra $B \subseteq \mathcal{O}_2$ globally.*

Proof. Assume that (i) holds and let $\alpha : \mathbb{Z}_p \curvearrowright \mathcal{O}_2$ be an outer strongly approximately inner action. Then $\mathcal{O}_2 \rtimes_\alpha \mathbb{Z}_p$ is a unital, M_{p^∞}-absorbing Kirchberg algebra. By assumption, $\mathcal{O}_2 \rtimes_\alpha \mathbb{Z}_p$ satisfies the UCT. Claim (ii) now follows from Corollary 4.12.

The implication from (ii) to (iii) is trivial.

Lastly, assume (iii) and let A be a separable, nuclear C*-algebra with the property that it is KK-equivalent to $A \otimes M_{p^\infty}$. Then A is KK-equivalent to a unital, M_{p^∞}-absorbing Kirchberg algebra A' by [18, Theorem I]. By [2, Proposition 4.14], there exists an outer approximately representable action $\gamma : \mathbb{Z}_p \curvearrowright \mathcal{O}_2$ such that $\mathcal{O}_2 \rtimes_\gamma \mathbb{Z}_p$ is KK-equivalent to $M_{p^\infty}^{\oplus p-1}$. Fix an isomorphism $A' \otimes \mathcal{O}_2 \cong \mathcal{O}_2$ and let α be the automorphism corresponding to $\mathrm{id}_{A'} \otimes \gamma$ under

this isomorphism. Then

$$\mathcal{O}_2 \rtimes_\alpha \mathbb{Z}_p \cong (A' \otimes \mathcal{O}_2) \rtimes_{\mathrm{id}_{A'} \otimes \gamma} \mathbb{Z}_p \sim_{KK} A' \otimes M_{p^\infty}^{\oplus p-1} \cong A'^{\oplus p-1} \sim_{KK} A^{\oplus p-1}.$$

As an outer strongly approximately action on $\mathcal{O}_2$, α fixes some Cartan subalgebra $B \subseteq \mathcal{O}_2$ globally by assumption. By [1, Proposition 3.4], $\mathcal{O}_2 \rtimes_\alpha \mathbb{Z}_p$ satisfies the UCT and so does A. This shows (i) and the proof is complete. □

The UCT problem can now be reformulated as follows.

Corollary 4.14. *The following statements are equivalent:*

(i) *Every separable, nuclear C*-algebra A satisfies the UCT;*
(ii) *for every prime number $p \geq 2$ and every outer strongly approximately inner action $\alpha : \mathbb{Z}_p \curvearrowright \mathcal{O}_2$ there exists an inverse semigroup $\mathcal{S} \subseteq \mathcal{O}_2$ of partial isometries generating $\mathcal{O}_2$ as a C*-algebra such that*
  (1) *$\mathcal{S}$ is α-homogeneous;*
  (2) *$C^*(E)$ is a Cartan subalgebra with spectrum homeomorphic to the Cantor space in both $\mathcal{O}_2^\alpha$ and $\mathcal{O}_2$;*
  (3) *unitaries in $\mathcal{O}_2^\alpha$ witnessing approximate representability can be chosen in $C^*(E)$;*
(iii) *every outer strongly approximately inner $\mathbb{Z}_p$-action on $\mathcal{O}_2$ with $p = 2$ or $p = 3$ fixes some Cartan subalgebra $B \subseteq \mathcal{O}_2$ globally.*

Proof. This follows immediately from Theorem 4.13 and [2, Proposition 4.16]. □

References

[1] S. Barlak and X. Li, *Cartan subalgebras and the UCT problem*, preprint, arXiv:1511.02697v2.
[2] S. Barlak and G. Szabó, *Rokhlin actions of finite groups on UHF-absorbing C*-algebras*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. *369* (2017), 833–859.
[3] S. Barlak and G. Szabó, *Sequentially split $*$-homomorphisms between C*-algebras*, Internat. J. Math. *27* (2016), 1650105, 48 pages.
[4] B. Blackadar: *K-theory for operator algebras*, Second edition, Cambridge University Press (1998).
[5] J. Cuntz, *K-theory for certain C*-algebras*, Ann. of Math. *113* (1981), 181–197.
[6] R.J. Deeley, I.F. Putnam, and K.R. Strung, *Constructing minimal homeomorphisms on point-like spaces and a dynamical presentation of the Jiang-Su algebra*, J. Reine Angew. Math., DOI: 10.1515/crelle-2015-0091.
[7] D. Evans, *On $\mathcal{O}_n$*, Publ. Res. Inst. Math. Sci. *16* (1980), 915–927.
[8] E. Gardella, *Compact group actions on C*-algebras: Classification, non-classifiability, and crossed products and rigidity results for L^p-operator algebras*, Ph.D. thesis, University of Oregon (2015).
[9] G. A. Elliott, G. Gong, H. Lin, and Z. Niu, *On the classification of simple amenable C*-algebras with finite decomposition rank, II*, preprint, arXiv:1507.03437v3.
[10] G. Gong, H. Lin, and Z. Niu, *Classification of finite simple amenable $\mathcal{Z}$-stable C*-algebras*, preprint, arXiv:1501.00135v6.
[11] M. Izumi, *Finite group actions on C*-algebras with the Rohlin property, I*, Duke Math. J. *122* (2004), 233–280.
[12] M. Izumi, *Finite group actions on C*-algebras with the Rohlin property, II*, Adv. Math. *184* (2004), 119–160.
[13] J. A. Jeong, *Purely infinite simple C*-crossed products*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. *123* (1995), 3075–3078.
[14] X. Jiang and H. Su, *On a simple unital projectionless C*-algebra*, American J. Math. *121* (1999), 359–413.
[15] T. Katsura, *Permutation presentations of modules over finite groups*, J. Algebra *319* (2008), 3653–3665.
[16] T. Katsura, *A construction of actions on Kirchberg algebras which induce given actions on their K-groups*, J. Reine Angew. Math. *617* (2008), 27–65.

[17] T. Katsura, *A class of C*-algebras generalizing both graph algebras and homeomorphism C*-algebras IV, pure infiniteness*, J. Funct. Anal. *254* (2008), 1161–1187.
[18] E. Kirchberg, *The classification of Purely Infinite C*-algebras using Kasparov's Theory*, to appear in Fields Institute Communications series.
[19] E. Kirchberg, *Central sequences in C*-algebras and strongly purely infinite algebras*, Operator Algebras: The Abel Symposium 2004, 175–231, Abel Symp. *1*, Springer, Berlin, 2006.
[20] A. Kishimoto, *Outer automorphisms and reduced crossed products of simple C*-algebras*, Commun. Math. Phys. *81* (1981), 429–435.
[21] A. Kumjian, *On C*-diagonals*, Can. J. Math. *38* (1986), 969–1008.
[22] X. Li and J. Renault, *Cartan subalgebras in C*-algebras. Existence and uniqueness*, preprint, arXiv: 1703.10505.
[23] N. Nawata, *Finite group actions on certain stably projectionless C*-algebras with the Rohlin property*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. *368* (2016), 471–493.
[24] N. C. Phillips, *A classification theorem for nuclear purely infinite simple C*-algebras*, Doc. Math. *5* (2000), 49–114.
[25] N.C. Phillips, *Crossed products of the Cantor set by free minimal actions of* $\mathbb{Z}^d$, Comm. Math. Phys. *256* (2005), 1–42.
[26] I.F. Putnam, *Some classifiable groupoid C*-algebras with prescribed K-theory*, preprint, arXiv:1611.04649.
[27] J. Renault, *A groupoid approach to C*-algebras*, Springer Lecture Notes in Mathematics *793* (1980).
[28] J. Renault, *Cartan subalgebras in C*-algebras*, Irish Math. Soc. Bulletin *61* (2008), 29–63.
[29] D.J.D. Robinson, *A Course in the Theory of Groups*, second edition, Grad. Texts in Math., vol. 80, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1996.
[30] M. Rørdam and W. Winter, *The Jiang-Su algebra revisited*, J. Reine Angew. Math. *642* (2010), 129–155.
[31] J. Rosenberg and C. Schochet, *The Künneth theorem and the universal coefficient theorem for Kasparov's generalized K-functor*, Duke Math. J. *55* (1987), 431–474.
[32] G. Skandalis, *Une notion de nucléarité en K-théorie (d'après J. Cuntz)*, K-Theory *1* (1988), 549–573.
[33] J. Spielberg, *Graph-based models for Kirchberg algebras*, J. Operator Th. *57* (2007), 347–374.
[34] J. Spielberg, *Non-cyclotomic presentations of modules and prime-order automorphisms of Kirchberg algebras*, J. Reine Angew. Math. *613* (2007), 211–230.
[35] H. Takai, *On a duality for crossed products of C*-algebras*, J. Funct. Anal. *19* (1975), 25–39.
[36] A. Tikuisis, S. White, and W. Winter, *Quasidiagonality of nuclear C*-algebras*, Ann. of Math. (2) *185* (2017), 229–284.
[37] J.-L. Tu, *La conjecture de Baum-Connes pour les feuilletages moyennables*, K-Theory *17* (1999), 215–264.
[38] T. Yeend, *Topological higher-rank graphs and the C*-algebras of topological 1-graphs*, Operator theory, operator algebras, and applications, Am. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2006, 231–244.
[39] T. Yeend, *Groupoid models for the C*-algebras of topological higher-rank graphs*, J. Op. Th. *57* (2007), 95–120.
[40] J. Zacharias, *Quasi-free automorphisms of Cuntz-Krieger-Pimsner algebras. C*-algebras* (Münster, 1999), 262–272, Springer, Berlin, 2000.

Department of Mathematics and Computer Science, University of Southern Denmark, Campusvej 55, DK-5230 Odense M, Denmark
E-mail address: barlak@imada.sdu.dk

School of Mathematical Sciences, Queen Mary University of London, Mile End Road, London E1 4NS, United Kingdom
E-mail address: xin.li@qmul.ac.uk